arXiv:1108.2398v2 [math.GR] 30 Jan 2012

ELEMENTARY ABELIAN 2-SUBGROUPS OF COMPACT LIE GROUPS

JUN YU

ABSTRACT. We classify elementary abelian 2-subgroups of compact simple Lie groups of adjoint type. This finishes the classification of elementary abelian p-subgroups of compact simple Lie groups (equivalently, complex linear algebraic simple groups) of adjoint type started in [Gr] and continued in [AGMV].

1. INTRODUCTION

An elementary abelian p-group of rank n is a finite group isomorphic to $(C_p)^n$. In this paper we study *elementary abelian p-subgroups* of compact simple Lie groups of adjoint type. A Lie group G is simple means it Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \operatorname{Lie} G$ is simple; it is of adjoint type means the adjoint homomorphism $\pi : G \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ is injective. A subgroup of Lie group G is called *toral* if it is contained in a maximal torus of G. The structure of non-toral elementary abelian p-subgroups of a compact group G is related to the topology of G and its classifying space (cf, [Bo], [Se], [AGMV]). In 1950s, Borel made an observation that, for a compact connected Lie group G, the cohomology ring $H^*(G,\mathbb{Z})$ has non-trivial p-torsion if and only if G has a non-toral elementary abelian p-subgroup (cf, [Bo] and [Se]). We call a prime p a torsion prime of a compact (not necessary connected) Lie group G if G has a non-toral elementary abelian p-subgroup. For $G = \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{u}_0)$ with $\mathfrak{u}_0$ a compact simple Lie algebra, the torsion primes are as follows.

TABLE 1. Torsion primes

$\mathrm{A}_{n-1}, n \geq 2$	$\mathrm{B}_n, n \geq 2$	$\mathrm{C}_n, n \geq 3$	$\mathrm{D}_n, n \geq 5$	D_4	E_6	E_7	E_8	F_4	G_2
$p \mid 2n$	2	2	2	2, 3	2, 3	2, 3	2, 3, 5	2, 3	2

In [Gr], R. Griess classified maximal non-toral elementary abelian p-subgroups of any compact simple Lie group of adjoint type and gave criteria to distinguish which elementary abelian p-subgroups are non-toral ([Gr] is about linear algebraic groups over an algebraic closed field of characteristic 0, which is equivalent to the consideration of compact Lie groups). For primes $p \geq 5$, Griess has classified non-toral elementary abelin p-subgroups, they are some p-subgroups of $\mathrm{PU}(n)$ $(p|n)$ and a subgroup $(\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z})^3$ of E_8. For $p = 3$, the non-toral subgroups of compact simple Lie groups of adjoint type up to conjugation was classified in [AGMV], they are 3-subgroups of $\mathrm{PU}(n)$ $(3|n)$ and some 3-subgroups of compact simple Lie groups of type $\mathrm{E}_6, \mathrm{E}_7, \mathrm{E}_8, \mathrm{F}_4$. This work was based on Griess' study of maximal elementary

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20E07, 20E45, 22C05.

Key words and phrases. Elementary abelian 2-group, Automizer group, involution type, symplectic metric space, translation subgroup.

abelian p-subgroups. The authors used it as a step to their classification of p-compact groups (some objects in homotopy theory) for p odd. In this paper, we classify elementary abelian 2-subgroups of any compact simple Lie group of adjoint type. It suffices to classify elementary abelian 2-subgroups of the group $G = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{u}_0)$ for each compact simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}_0$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we do the classification for classical simple Lie algebras, which amounts to classify elementary abelian 2-subgroups of the groups $\mathrm{PU}(n)$ (Type A, inner case), $\mathrm{O}(n)/\langle -I\rangle$, $\mathrm{Sp}(n)/\langle -I\rangle$ and $\mathrm{PU}(n) \rtimes \langle\tau_0\rangle$ (Type A, full case, τ_0 = complex conjugation).

For an elementary abelian 2-subgroup F of $\mathrm{PU}(n)$, we define a map

$$m : F \times F \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\},$$

show that it is a bilinear form when F is viewed as a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $\{\pm 1\}$ is identified with $\mathbb{F}_2$. We show that $\ker m$ is diagonalizable and the conjugacy class of F is determined by the conjugacy class of $\ker m$ and the number $\mathrm{rank}(F/\ker m)$. For an elementary abelian 2-subgroup F of $\mathrm{O}(n)/\langle -I\rangle$ or $\mathrm{Sp}(n)/\langle -I\rangle$, we define a bilinear map $m : F \times F \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ and a function

$$\mu : F \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\},$$

which satisfy a compatibility relation ($m(x,y) = \mu(x)\mu(y)\mu(xy)$). They give F a structure we called *symplectic metric space* and we get invariants r, s, ϵ, δ from this structure. We show that the conjugacy class of F is determined by the conjugacy class of $A_F = \ker(\mu|_{\ker m})$ and the numbers s, ϵ, δ. The classification in the full type A case can be reduced to the above three cases.

In Subsection 2.4, we discuss a special class of subgroups of $\mathrm{O}(n)/\langle -I\rangle$ and $\mathrm{Sp}(n)/\langle -I\rangle$, introduce the notions of "symplectic vector space" and "symplectic metric space" and study their automorphism groups. The study of some elementary abelian 2-subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{u}_0)$ for compact exceptional simple Lie algebras $\mathfrak{u}_0$ is reduced to this class of subgroups of $\mathrm{Sp}(n)/\langle -I\rangle$. Moreover, their Automizer groups (Definition 3.4) are described in terms of the automorphism groups of symplectic vector spaces or symplectic metric spaces.

In Section 3, we present our understanding of involutions in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{u}_0)$ for compact exceptional simple Lie algebras (cf, [Kn]), the symmetric subgroups $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{u}_0)^{\theta}$ and Klein four subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{u}_0)$ (cf, [HY]). We also discuss our method to the classification of elementary abelian 2-subgroups in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{u}_0)$ for compact exceptional simple Lie algebras.

In Sections 4-8, we give the classification in type $\mathrm{G}_2, \mathrm{F}_4, \mathrm{E}_6, \mathrm{E}_7, \mathrm{E}_8$ respectively. We show that an elementary abelian 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_2) = \mathrm{G}_2$ is determined up to conjugacy by its rank, which is at most 3. For an elementary abelian 2-subgroup F of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{f}_4) = \mathrm{F}_4$, the subset A_F of elements conjugate to σ_2 (cf, Subsection 3.1) and the identity element is a subgroup. We show that the conjugacy class of F is determined by the numbers $\mathrm{rank}\, A_F$ and $\mathrm{rank}\, F/A_F$, which satisfy $\mathrm{rank}\, A_F \leq 2$ and $\mathrm{rank}\, F/A_F \leq 3$. E_6 has F_4 and $\mathrm{Sp}(4)/\langle -I\rangle$ as its symmetric subgroups, we construct elementary abelian 2-subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$ from subgroups of F_4 and certain special subgroups (those considered in Subsection 2.4) of $\mathrm{Sp}(4)/\langle -I\rangle$, show they represent all conjugacy classes and are not conjugate to each other.

Use an ascending chain $\mathrm{E}_6 \subset \mathrm{E}_7 \subset \mathrm{E}_8$, we construct some elementary abelian 2-subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_8)$ from subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$. The remaining elementary abelian 2-subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$ are related to very special subgroups of $\mathrm{PSU}(8)$ and $\mathrm{PSO}(8)$. Some of the remaining elementary abelian 2-subgroups F of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_8)$ possess two canonical subgroups A_F, H_F with $\mathrm{rank}\, H_F/A_F = 1$ and the conjugacy class of F is determined by the numbers $\mathrm{rank}\, A_F, \mathrm{rank}\, F/H_F$. Any other elementary abelian 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_8)$ is determined up to conjugacy by its rank, which is at most 5.

Theorem 1.1. *For* $\mathfrak{u}_0 = \mathfrak{e}_6, \mathfrak{e}_7, \mathfrak{e}_8, \mathfrak{f}_4, \mathfrak{g}_2$*, there are* $51, 78, 66, 12, 4$ *conjugacy classes of elementary abelian* 2*-subgroups in* $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{u}_0)$ *respectively.*

For an elementary abelian 2-subgroup F of a compact Lie group G, we call $W(F) = N_G(F)/C_G(F)$ the *Automizer group* of F (in G), the name of Automizer is suggested by Professor R. Griess. We determine the Automizer group $W(F)$ for each elementary abelian 2-subgroup F of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{u}_0)$ with $\mathfrak{u}_0$ a compact exceptional simple Lie algebra.

Notations. Let $\mathrm{Z}_n = \{\lambda\, \mathrm{I}_n : |\lambda| = 1\}$, $\mathrm{I}_{p,q} = \mathrm{diag}\{-\mathrm{I}_p, \mathrm{I}_q\}$,

$$\mathrm{J}_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathrm{I}_n \\ -\mathrm{I}_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \mathrm{J}'_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathrm{I}_n \\ \mathrm{I}_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}, K_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathrm{I}_n & 0 & 0 \\ -\mathrm{I}_n & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\mathrm{I}_n \\ 0 & 0 & \mathrm{I}_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{e}_6$ denote the compact simple Lie algebra of type $\mathbf{E_6}$, E_6 denote the connected and simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{e}_6$, and $\mathfrak{e}_6(\mathbb{C}), \mathrm{E}_6(\mathbb{C})$ their complexifications. Similar notations will be used for other types.

Let $Z(G)$ denote the center of a group G and G_0 denote the connected component of G containing identity element. For $H \subset G$ ($\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$), let $C_G(H)$ ($C_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{h})$) denote the centralizer of H in G ($\mathfrak{h}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$), let $N_G(H)$ denote the normalizer of H in G.

In the case $G = \mathrm{E}_6, \mathrm{E}_7$, let c denotes a non-trivial element in $Z(G)$.

Let $\mathrm{Pin}(n)$ ($\mathrm{Spin}(n)$) be the Pin (Spin) group in degree n. Write $c = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n \in \mathrm{Pin}(n)$. If n is odd, then $\mathrm{Spin}(n)$ has a Spinor module M of dimension $2^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$. If n is even, then $\mathrm{Spin}(n)$ has two Spinor modules M_+, M_- of dimension $2^{\frac{n-2}{2}}$. We distinguish M_+, M_- by requiring that c acts on M_+ and M_- by scalar 1 or -1 respectively when $4|n$; and by $-i$ or i respectively when $4|n-2$.

For a quotient group $G = H/N$, let $[x] = xN$ ($x \in H$) denote a coset.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Professors Griess and Grodal for some helpful communications. He would like to thank Professor Jong-Song Huang for discussions on symmetric pairs and thank Professor Doran for a lot of suggestions on the mathematical writing. The author 's research is supported by a grant from Swiss National Science Foundation (Schweizerischer Nationalfonds).

2. MATRIX GROUPS

For $F = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$, let $\mathrm{M}_n(F)$ be the set of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in F. Let

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{O}(n) = \{X \in \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{R}) | XX^t = \mathrm{I}\}, \mathrm{SO}(n) = \{X \in \mathrm{O}(n) | \det X = 1\}, \\ &\mathrm{U}(n) = \{X \in \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) | XX^* = \mathrm{I}\}, \mathrm{SU}(n) = \{X \in \mathrm{U}(n) | \det X = 1\}, \\ &\mathrm{Sp}(n) = \{X \in \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{H}) | XX^* = \mathrm{I}\}, \end{aligned}$$

then $\mathrm{O}(n)\subset\mathrm{U}(n)\subset\mathrm{Sp}(n)$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{so}(n)&=\{X\in M_n(\mathbb{R})|X+X^t=0\},\\ \mathfrak{su}(n)&=\{X\in M_n(\mathbb{C})|X+X^*=0,\operatorname{tr}X=0\},\\ \mathfrak{sp}(n)&=\{X\in M_n(\mathbb{H})|X+X^*=0\},\end{aligned}$$

then $\mathfrak{so}(n),\mathfrak{su}(n),\mathfrak{sp}(n)$ are Lie algebras of $\mathrm{SO}(n),\mathrm{SU}(n),\mathrm{Sp}(n)$ respectively.

2.1. **Projective unitary groups.** For $G=\mathrm{U}(n)/\mathrm{Z}_n$, any involution in G has a representative $A\in\mathrm{U}(n)$ with $A^2=I$. Then $A\sim I_{p,n-p}=\begin{pmatrix}-I_p&0\\0&I_{n-p}\end{pmatrix}$ for some p, $1\leq p\leq n-1$. One has

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{U}(n)/\mathrm{Z}_n)^{[I_{p,n-p}]}&=(\mathrm{U}(p)\times\mathrm{U}(n-p))/\mathrm{Z}_n\ \text{ when } p\neq\frac{n}{2};\\ (\mathrm{U}(n)/\mathrm{Z}_n)^{[I_{\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}}]}&=((\mathrm{U}(n/2)\times\mathrm{U}(n/2))/\mathrm{Z}_n)\rtimes\langle[J'_n]\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Let $F\subset\mathrm{U}(n)/\mathrm{Z}_n$ be an elementary abelian 2-subgroup. For any $x,y\in F$, choose $A,B\in\mathrm{U}(n)$ with $A^2=B^2=I$ representing x,y. Then $1=[x,y]=[A,B]\mathrm{Z}_n/\mathrm{Z}_n$ implies $[A,B]=\lambda_{A,B}I$ for some $\lambda_{A,B}\in\mathbb{C}$, which is obviously independent with the choice of A,B. Also, $x^2=y^2=1$ implies $\lambda_{A,B}=\pm1$. For any $x,y\in F$, let $m(x,y)=m_F(x,y)=\lambda_{A,B}$.

Lemma 2.1. *For any $x,y,z\in F$, $m(x,x)=1$ and $m(xy,z)=m(x,z)m(y,z)$.*

Proof. $m(x,x)=1$ is clear. Choose $A,B,C\in\mathrm{U}(n)$ with $A^2=B^2=C^2=I$ representing x,y,z. Let $[A,C]=\lambda_1I$, $[B,C]=\lambda_2I$ for some numbers $\lambda_1,\lambda_2=\pm1$. We have

$$[AB,C]=A[B,C]A^{-1}[A,C]=A(\lambda_2I)A^{-1}(\lambda_1I)=(\lambda_1\lambda_2)I.$$

So $m(xy,z)=m(x,z)m(y,z)$. □

If we regard F as a vector space on $\mathbb{F}_2=\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and identify $\{\pm1\}$ with $\mathbb{F}_2=\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, Lemma 2.1 just said m is an *anti-symmetric bilinear 2-form* on F. Let

$$\ker m=\{x\in F|m(x,y)=1,\forall y\in F\},$$

which is a subgroup of F.

For an even n, let $\Gamma_0=\langle[I_{\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}}],[J'_{\frac{n}{2}}]\rangle$, then any non-identity element of Γ_0 is conjugate to $I_{\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}}$ and $(\mathrm{U}(n)/\mathrm{Z}_n)^{\Gamma_0}\cong(\mathrm{U}(n/2)/\mathrm{Z}_{\frac{n}{2}})\times\Gamma_0$.

Lemma 2.2. *For a Klein four group $F\subset G=\mathrm{U}(n)/\mathrm{Z}_n$, if m_F is non-trivial, then F is conjugate to Γ_0.*

Proof. Choose $A,B\in\mathrm{U}(n)$ with $A^2=B^2=I$ and $F=\langle[A],[B]\rangle$. Since m_F is non-trivial, we have $[A,B]=-I$. Since $A^2=I$, we may assume that $A=I_{p,n-p}$ for some $1\leq p\leq\frac{n}{2}$. From $[A,B]=-I$, we get $ABA^{-1}=-B$. Then B is of the form $B=\begin{pmatrix}&B_1\\B_2^t&\end{pmatrix}$ for some $B_1,B_2\in M_{p,n-p}$. Since B is invertible, we get $p=\frac{n}{2}$. Since $B^2=I$, we have $B_1B_2^t=I$. Let $S=\operatorname{diag}\{I_{n/2},B_1\}$. Then $(SAS^{-1},SBS^{-1})=(\begin{pmatrix}-I_{\frac{n}{2}}&0\\0&I_{\frac{n}{2}}\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}0&I_{\frac{n}{2}}\\I_{\frac{n}{2}}&0\end{pmatrix})$. □

Lemma 2.3. *For any* $m, k \geq 1$ *, let* $\Delta(A) = \mathrm{diag}\{A, A, \ldots, A\}$ *(*$A \in \mathrm{U}(m)$*) be the diagonal map* $\mathrm{U}(m) \longrightarrow \mathrm{U}(km)$*. Then for any two subgroups* $S_1, S_2 \subset \mathrm{U}(m)$*,*

$$\Delta(S_1) \sim \Delta(S_2) \Leftrightarrow S_1 \sim S_2.$$

Proof. Both similarity conditions are equivalent to,

$$\exists \phi : S_1 \to S_2 \text{ with } \mathrm{tr}(\phi(x)) = \mathrm{tr}(x), \forall x \in S_1.$$

□

Proposition 2.4. *Let* F *be an elementary abelian 2-subgroup of* $\mathrm{U}(n)/\mathrm{Z}_n$*,*

1. *when* $\ker m = 1$*, the conjugacy class of* F *is determined by* $\mathrm{rank}\, F$*;*
2. *in general,* $\ker m$ *is diagonalizable and the conjugacy class of* F *is determined by the conjugacy class of* $\ker m$ *and the number* $\mathrm{rank}\, F$*.*

Proof. For (1), prove by induction on n. Since $\ker m = 1$, $\mathrm{rank}\, F$ is of even. When $\mathrm{rank}\, F \geq 2$, choose any $x_1, x_2 \in F$ with $m(x_1, x_2) = -1$. By Lemma 2.2, $\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \sim \Gamma_0$. We may assume that $\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle = \Gamma_0$, then $F \subset (\mathrm{U}(n)/\mathrm{Z}_n)^{\Gamma_0} = \Delta(\mathrm{U}(n/2)/\mathrm{Z}_{\frac{n}{2}}) \times \Gamma_0$. And so $F = \Delta(F') \times \Gamma_0$ for some $F' \subset \mathrm{U}(n/2)/\mathrm{Z}_{\frac{n}{2}}$. We also have $\ker m_{F'} = 1$. By induction, the conjugacy class of F' is determined by $\mathrm{rank}\, F'$, so the conjugcay class of F is determined by $\mathrm{rank}\, F$.

For (2), since $\pi^{-1}(\ker m)$ is abelian by the definition of m and $\ker m$, where π is the natural projection from $\mathrm{U}(n)$ to $\mathrm{U}(n)/\mathrm{Z}_n$. So it is diagonalizable. Then $\ker m$ is diagonalizable. We may write F as $F = \ker m \times F'$ with $m(\ker m, F') = 1$ and $m|_{F'}$ non-degenerate. By (1), the conjugcay class of F' is determined by $\mathrm{rank}\, F' = \mathrm{rank}\, F - \mathrm{rank} \ker m$. Moreover, it is clear that $(\mathrm{U}(n)/\mathrm{Z}_n)^{F'} = \Delta(\mathrm{U}(n')/\mathrm{Z}_{n'}) \times F'$, where $n' = n/2^{\frac{\mathrm{rank}\, F'}{2}}$. So $\ker m = \Delta(F'')$ for some $F'' \subset \mathrm{U}(n')/\mathrm{Z}_{n'}$. Fix F', by Lemma 2.3, the conjugacy class of $\ker m$ in $\mathrm{U}(n)/\mathrm{Z}_n$ and that of F'' in $\mathrm{U}(n')/\mathrm{Z}_{n'}$ determine each other. Since the conjugacy of F is determined by F' and the class of $\ker m$ in $(\mathrm{U}(n)/\mathrm{Z}_n)^{F'}$, we get the last statement of (2). □

2.2. **Orthogonal and symplectic groups.**

Let F be an elementary abelian 2-subgroup of $G = \mathrm{O}(n)/\langle -I \rangle, n \geq 2$. For any $x \in F$, choose $A \in \mathrm{O}(n)$ representing x, then $A^2 = \lambda_A I$ for some $\lambda_A = \pm 1$. For any $x, y \in F$, choose $A, B \in \mathrm{O}(n)$ representing x, y, then $[A, B] = \lambda_{A,B} I$ for some $\lambda_{A,B} = \pm 1$. The values of $\lambda_A, \lambda_{A,B}$ are independent with the choice of A, B. For any $x \in F$, let $\mu(x) = \lambda_A$; for any $x, y \in F$, let $m(x, y) = \lambda_{A,B}$.

Lemma 2.5. *For any* $x, y, z \in F$*,* $m(x, x) = \mu(x) = 1$*,* $m(xy, z) = m(x, z)m(y, z)$ *and*

$$m(x, y) = \mu(x)\mu(y)\mu(xy).$$

Proof. The proof is similar as that for 2.1. □

Lemma 2.6. $\mathfrak{su}(n)$ *has two (or one) conjugacy classes of outer involutive automorphisms with representative* $\tau_0 =$ *complex conjugation and* $\tau_0' = \tau_0 \,\mathrm{Ad}(J_{n/2})$*.*

Proof. This follows from Cartan 's classification of compact Riemannian symmetric pairs. □

Lemma 2.7. *For* $x \in F$*,* $\mu(x) = -1$ *if and only if* $x \sim [J_{\frac{n}{2}}]$*.*

For $x, y \in F$ *with* $m(x, y) = -1$*,*

(1) *when* $\mu(x)=\mu(y)=-1$, $(x,y)\sim([J_{\frac{n}{2}}],[K_{\frac{n}{4}}])$;
(2) *when* $\mu(x)=\mu(y)=1$, $(x,y)\sim([I_{\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}}],[J'_{\frac{n}{2}}])$.

Proof. If $\mu(x)=-1$, then $x=[A]$ for some $A\in\mathrm{O}(n)$ with $A^2=-I$. The $A\sim J_{\frac{n}{2}}$ and so $x\sim[J_{\frac{n}{2}}]$. The proof for (2) is the same as that for Lemma 2.2. For (1), we may assume that $x=[J_{\frac{n}{2}}]$, then $\mathfrak{so}(n)^x=\mathfrak{u}(n/2)$. By Lemma 2.6, after replace y by some gyg^{-1} with $g\in G^x$, we may assume that $(\mathfrak{so}(n)^x)^y=(\mathfrak{u}(n/2))^y=\mathfrak{so}(n/2)$ or $\mathfrak{sp}(n/4)$, then a little more argument shows $y=[K_{\frac{n}{4}}]$. $\square$

Definition 2.8. *For an elementary abelian 2-group* $F\subset O(n)/\langle -I\rangle$, $n\geq 2$, *define* $A_F=\ker(\mu|_{\ker m})$ *and the defect index*

$$\operatorname{defe}F=|\{x\in F:\mu(x)=1\}|-|\{x\in F:\mu(x)=-1\}|.$$

Define (ϵ_F,δ_F) *as follows,*

- *when* $\mu|_{\ker m}\neq 1$, *define* $(\epsilon_F,\delta_F)=(1,0)$;
- *when* $\mu|_{\ker m}=1$ *and* $\operatorname{defe}F<0$, *define* $(\epsilon_F,\delta_F)=(0,1)$;
- *when* $\mu|_{\ker m}=1$ *and* $\operatorname{defe}F>0$, *define* $(\epsilon_F,\delta_F)=(0,0)$.

Define $r_F=\operatorname{rank}A_F$, $s_F=\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{rank}(F/\ker m)-\delta_F$.

We will see in the proof of Proposition 2.12 that $\operatorname{defe}F=0$ if and only if $\mu|_{\ker m}\neq 1$. It is clear that $\epsilon_F,\delta_F,r_F,s_F$ and the conjugcay class of A_F are determined by the conjugacy class of F.

Let $\Gamma_1=\langle[I_{\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}}],[J'_{\frac{n}{2}}]\rangle$ and $\Gamma_2=\langle[J_{\frac{n}{2}}],[K_{\frac{n}{4}}\rangle]$. Then $\operatorname{defe}\Gamma_1=2$, $\operatorname{defe}\Gamma_2=-2$,

$$(\mathrm{O}(n)/\langle -I\rangle)^{\Gamma_1}=\Delta(\mathrm{O}(\frac{n}{2})/\langle -I\rangle)\times\Gamma_1$$

and

$$(\mathrm{O}(n)/\langle -I\rangle)^{\Gamma_2}=\Delta(\mathrm{Sp}(\frac{n}{4})/\langle -I\rangle)\times\Gamma_2.$$

Lemma 2.9. *Let F be a non-trivial elementary abelian 2-subgroup of* $\mathrm{O}(n)/\langle -I\rangle$, *if* $\operatorname{rank}(F/\ker m)>2$, *there exists a Klein four subgroup* $F'\subset F$ *with* $F'\sim\Gamma_1$.

Proof. Choose a subgroup $F''\subset F$ such that $F=\ker m\times F''$, then $\ker(m_{F''})=1$ and $\operatorname{rank}F''>2$. Replace F by F'', we may assume that $\ker m=1$ and $\operatorname{rank}F>2$.

We first show that, there exists $1\neq x\in F$ with $\mu(x)=1$. From $\operatorname{rank}F>2$, we get $\operatorname{rank}F\geq 4$ since it is even (m_F is non-degenerate). Suppose any $1\neq x\in F$ has $\mu(x)=-1$, then for any distinct non-trivial elements $x,y\in F$, $m(x,y)=\mu(x)\mu(y)\mu(xy)=-1$ by Lemma 2.5, which contradicts to m is bi-linear on F.

Upon we get $1\neq x\in F$ with $\mu(x)=1$, choose any $z\in F$ with $m(x,z)=-1$. Then $\mu(xz)\mu(z)=m(x,z)\mu(x)=-1$. So exactly one of $\mu(z),\mu(xz)$ is equal to -1. By Lemma 2.7, we have $\langle x,z\rangle\sim\Gamma_1$. $\square$

Lemma 2.10. *Let F be a non-trivial elementary abelian 2-subgroup of* $\mathrm{O}(n)/\langle -I\rangle$, *if* $\operatorname{rank}(\ker m/A_F)=1$ *and* $\operatorname{rank}(F/A_F)>1$, *there exists a Klein four subgroup* $F'\subset F$ *with* $F'\sim\Gamma_1$.

Proof. Choose a subgroup $F''\subset F$ such that $F=A_F\times F''$, then $\operatorname{rank}\ker(m_{F''})=1$, $A_{F''}=1$ and $\operatorname{rank}F''>1$. Replace F by F'', we may assume that $A_F=1$, $\operatorname{rank}\ker m=1$ and $\operatorname{rank}F>1$.

F is of the form $F = \ker m \times F''$ with $m(\ker m, F'') = 1$, $\operatorname{rank} F'' \geq 2$, and $m_{F''}$ non-degenerate. When $\operatorname{rank} F'' > 2$ or $F'' \sim \Gamma_1$, there exists $F' \subset F'$ with $F' \sim \Gamma_1$ by Lemma 2.9. Otherwise $F'' \sim \Gamma_2$. Choose $x, y \in F''$ generating F'' and $1 \neq z \in \ker m$, then $F' = \langle xz, yz \rangle \sim \Gamma_1$ since $(\mu(xz), \mu(yz), \mu(xy)) = (1, 1, -1)$. □

Lemma 2.11. *For any $n \geq 1$, let $T : \mathrm{O}(n) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{U}(n)$, $T' : \mathrm{Sp}(n/2) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{U}(n)$) be the natural inclusions. Then for any two subgroups $S_1, S_2 \subset \mathrm{O}(n)$ or $S'_1, S'_2 \subset \mathrm{Sp}(n/2)$*

$$T(S_1) \sim T(S_2) \Leftrightarrow S_1 \sim S_2,$$

$$T'(S'_1) \sim T'(S'_2) \Leftrightarrow S'_1 \sim S'_2.$$

Proof. This is a classical fact, one may refer [Yu] for a proof. □

Proposition 2.12. *Let F be an elementary abelian 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(n)/\langle -I \rangle$,*

1. *when $\ker m = 1$, the conjugacy class of F is determined by δ_F and s_F;*
2. *in general, $\ker m$ is diagonalizable and the conjugacy class of F is determined by the conjugacy class of A_F and the invariants $(\epsilon_F, \delta_F, s_F)$.*
3. *we have $\operatorname{defe}(F) = (1 - \epsilon_F)(-1)^{\delta_F} 2^{r_F + s_F + \delta_F}$.*

Proof. For (1), since $\ker m = 1$, $\operatorname{rank} F$ is even. When $\operatorname{rank} F = 2$, $F \sim \Gamma_1$ or Γ_2 by Lemma 2.2. When $\operatorname{rank} F \geq 2$, there exists a Klein four subgroup $F' \subset F$ with $F' \sim \Gamma_1$ by Lemma 2.9. We may assume that, $\Gamma_1 \subset F$, then $F \subset (\mathrm{O}(n)/\langle -I \rangle)^{\Gamma_1} = \Delta(\mathrm{O}(\frac{n}{2})/\langle -I \rangle) \times \Gamma_1$. So $F = \Delta(F') \times \Gamma_1$ for some $F' \subset \mathrm{O}(\frac{n}{2})/\langle -I \rangle$. By induction, we can show $\operatorname{defe} F \neq 0$ and the conjugacy class of F is determined by δ_F and s_F.

For (2), $\ker m$ is diagonalizable since $\pi^{-1}(\ker m)$ is abelian by the definition of m, where π is the natural projection $\pi : \mathrm{O}(n) \longrightarrow \mathrm{O}(n)/\langle -I \rangle$. F is of the form $F = \ker m \times F'$ with $m|_{F'}$ non-degenerate. When $\epsilon_F = 1$, by Lemma 2.10, F is of the form $F = \ker m \times F'$ with $m_{F'}$ non-degenerate and $\operatorname{defe} F' > 0$. By (1), the class of F' is determined by $s_F = \frac{\operatorname{rank} F'}{2}$. We have $(\mathrm{O}(n)/\langle -I \rangle)^{F'} = \Delta(\mathrm{O}(n')/\langle -I \rangle) \times F'$, where $n' = \frac{n}{2^{\frac{\operatorname{rank} F'}{2}}}$. Fix F', by Lemmas 2.3 and 2.11, the class of $\ker m$ in $\mathrm{O}(n)/\langle -I \rangle$ determines the class of it in $(\mathrm{O}(n)/\langle -I \rangle)^{F'}$. Moreover, as $\epsilon_F = 1$ is given, the class of $\ker m$ is determined by the class of $A_F = \ker \mu|_{\ker m}$. So the conjugacy class of F is determined by that of A_F and the invariants (δ_F, s_F) (and $\epsilon_F = 1$ in this case). The proof for $\epsilon_F = 0$ case is similar as that for $\epsilon_F = 1$ case.

(3) follows from Lemma 2.7 and (2). □

The classification of elementary abelian 2-subgroup of $G = \mathrm{Sp}(n)/\langle -I \rangle$ is similar as that for $\mathrm{O}(n)/\langle -I \rangle$. We give the definitions and results below but omit the proofs.

Let F be an elementary abelian 2 subgroup of $G = \mathrm{Sp}(n)/\langle -I \rangle, n \geq 2$. For any $x \in F$, choose $A \in \mathrm{Sp}(n)$ representing x, then $A^2 = \lambda_A I$ for some $\lambda_A = \pm 1$. For any $x, y \in F$, choose $A, B \in \mathrm{Sp}(n)$ representing x, y, then $[A, B] = \lambda_{A,B} I$ for some $\lambda_{A,B} = \pm 1$. The values of $\lambda_A, \lambda_{A,B}$ are obviously independent with the choice of A, B. For any $x \in F$, let $\mu(x) = \lambda_A$; for any $x, y \in F$, let $m(x, y) = \lambda_{A,B}$.

Lemma 2.13. *For any $x, y, z \in F$, $m(x, x) = \mu(x) = 1$, $m(xy, z) = m(x, z)m(y, z)$, and*

$$m(x, y) = \mu(x)\mu(y)\mu(xy).$$

Lemma 2.14. *For $x\in F$, $\mu(x)=-1$ if and only if $x\sim[J_{\frac{n}{2}}]$.*

For $x,y\in F$ with $m(x,y)=-1$,

1. *when $\mu(x)=\mu(y)=-1$, $(x,y)\sim([\boldsymbol{i}I],[\boldsymbol{j}I])$;*
2. *when $\mu(x)=\mu(y)=1$, $(x,y)\sim([I_{\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}}],[J'_{\frac{n}{2}}])$.*

Definition 2.15. *For an elementary abelian 2-group $F\subset G=\mathrm{Sp}(n)/\langle -I\rangle$, define $A_F=\ker(\mu|_{\ker m})$ and the defect index*

$$\operatorname{defe}F=|\{x\in F:\mu(x)=1\}|-|\{x\in F:\mu(x)=-1\}|.$$

Define (ϵ_F,δ_F) as follows,

- *when $\mu|_{\ker m}\neq 1$, define $(\epsilon_F,\delta_F)=(1,0)$;*
- *when $\mu|_{\ker m}=1$ and $\operatorname{defe}F<0$, define $(\epsilon_F,\delta_F)=(0,1)$;*
- *when $\mu|_{\ker m}=1$ and $\operatorname{defe}F>0$, define $(\epsilon_F,\delta_F)=(0,0)$.*

Define $r_F=\operatorname{rank}A_F$, $s_F=\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{rank}(F/\ker m)-\delta_F$.

Proposition 2.16. *Let F be an elementary abelian 2 subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(n)/\langle -I\rangle$,*

1. *when $\ker m=1$, the conjugacy class of F is determined by δ_F and s_F;*
2. *in general, $\ker m$ is diagonalizable and the conjugacy class of F is determined by the conjugacy class of A_F and the invariants $(\epsilon_F,\delta_F,s_F)$.*
3. *we have $\operatorname{defe}(F)=(1-\epsilon_F)(-1)^{\delta_F}2^{r_F+s_F+\delta_F}$.*

2.3. **Twisted projective unitary groups.** For $n\geq 3$, $G=\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{su}(n))$ has two connected components and $G_0=\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{su}(n))=\mathrm{U}(n)/\mathrm{Z}_n$. There are two conjugacy classes of outer involutions in G with representatives τ_0, $\tau_0\operatorname{Ad}(J_{n/2})$ (the latter exists only when n is even), where τ_0 is the complex conjugation. One has

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{su}(n))^{\tau_0}=\mathrm{O}(n)/\langle -I\rangle,\\&\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{su}(n))^{\tau_0\operatorname{Ad}(J_{n/2})}=\mathrm{Sp}(n/2)/\langle -I\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Let F be an elementary abelian 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{su}(n))$. From the inclusion $F\cap\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{su}(n))\subset\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{su}(n))=\mathrm{U}(n)/\mathrm{Z}_n$, we have a bilinear form

$$m:F\cap\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{su}(n))\times F\cap\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{su}(n))\longrightarrow\{\pm1\}.$$

Moreover, we define a function

$$\mu:F-F\cap\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{su}(n))\longrightarrow\{\pm1\}$$

by $\mu(z)=1$ if $z\sim\tau_0$, and $\mu(z)=-1$ if $z\sim\tau_0\operatorname{Ad}(J_{n/2})$. On the other hand, for any $z\in F-\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{su})(n)$, define $\mu_z:F\cap\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{su}(n))\longrightarrow\{\pm1\}$ and

$$m_z:(F\cap\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{su}(n)))\times(F\cap\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{su}(n)))\longrightarrow\{\pm1\}$$

from the inclusion

$$F\cap\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{su}(n))\subset\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{su}(n))^{z}\cong\mathrm{O}(n)/\langle -I\rangle\text{ or }\mathrm{Sp}(n/2)/\langle -I\rangle.$$

Definition 2.17. *For an elementary abelian 2-group $F\subset\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{su}(n))$, define*

$$A_F=\{x\in F\cap\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{su}(n))|z\sim zx,\forall z\in F-F\cap\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{su}(n))\}$$

and

$$\operatorname{defe}F=|\{x\in F:x\sim\tau_0\}|-|\{x\in F:x\sim\tau_0\operatorname{Ad}(J_{n/2})\}|.$$

Define (ϵ_F,δ_F) as follows,

- *when* $\operatorname{defe} F = 0$, *define* $(\epsilon_F, \delta_F) = (1, 0)$*;*
- *when* $\operatorname{defe} F > 0$, *define* $(\epsilon_F, \delta_F) = (0, 0)$*;*
- *when* $\operatorname{defe} F < 0$, *define* $(\epsilon_F, \delta_F) = (0, 1)$*.*

Define $r_F = \operatorname{rank} A_F$ *and* $s_F = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{rank}(F/\ker m) - \delta_F$*.*

Lemma 2.18. *For any* $z \in F - F \cap \operatorname{Int}(\mathfrak{su}(n))$*,* $m_z = m$ *on* $F \cap \operatorname{Int}(\mathfrak{su}(n))$*.*

Proof. For $z \in F - \operatorname{Int}(\mathfrak{su}(n))$ and $x, y \in F \cap \operatorname{Int}(\mathfrak{su}(n))$,

$$m(x, y) = -1 \Leftrightarrow \langle x, y\rangle \sim \langle [I_{\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}}], [J'_{\frac{n}{2}}]\rangle \Leftrightarrow m_z(x, y) = -1.$$

So $m_z(x, y) = m(x, y)$. □

Lemma 2.19. *For any* $z \in F - F \cap \operatorname{Int}(\mathfrak{su}(n))$ *and* $x \in F \cap \operatorname{Int}(\mathfrak{su}(n))$*,* $\mu_z(x) = \mu(z)\mu(zx)$*.*

Proof. We may assume that $z = \tau_0$ or $\tau_0 \operatorname{Ad}(J_{n/2})$.

In the case of $z = \tau_0$ and $\mu_z(x) = 1$, we may assume that $x = [I_{p,n-p}] \in \mathrm{O}(n)/\langle -I\rangle = \operatorname{Int}(\mathfrak{su}(n))^z$ for some $0 \leq p \leq n$. Let $u = [\operatorname{diag}\{iI_p, I_{n-p}\}]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & uzu^{-1} = z(z^{-1}uz)u^{-1} = z(\overline{u})u^{-1} \\ = \;& z[\operatorname{diag}\{-iI_p, I_{n-p}\}][\operatorname{diag}\{-iI_p, I_{n-p}\}] \\ = \;& z[I_{p,n-p}] = zx. \end{aligned}$$

So $zx \sim z$ and $1 = \mu_z(x) = \mu(z)\mu(zx)$.

In the case of $z = \tau_0$ and $\mu_z(x) = -1$, we may assume that $x = [J_{n/2}] \in \mathrm{O}(n)/\langle -I\rangle = \operatorname{Int}(\mathfrak{su}(n))^z$. Then $zx = \tau_0 \operatorname{Ad}(J_{n/2}) = \tau'_0$. So $-1 = \mu_z(x) = \mu(z)\mu(zx)$.

The proof in the case of $z = \tau'_0 = \tau_0 \operatorname{Ad}(J_{n/2})$ is similar. □

Lemma 2.20. *We have* $A_F \subset \ker m$ *and* $A_F = \ker(\mu_z|_{\ker m})$ *for any* $z \in F - F \cap \operatorname{Int}(\mathfrak{su}(n))$*.*

Proof. Choose an element $z \in F - F \cap \operatorname{Int}(\mathfrak{su}(n))$. For any $z \in A_F$, by the definition, for any $y \in F \cap \operatorname{Int}(\mathfrak{su}(n))$, we have $\mu(zy) = \mu(zyx)$, in particular $\mu(z) = \mu(zx)$. Then

$$m(x, y) = m_z(x, y) = \mu_z(x)\mu_z(y)\mu_z(xy) = \mu(z)\mu(zx)\mu(zy)\mu(zxy) = 1.$$

So $A_F \subset \ker m$.

On the other hand, for any $x \in \ker m = \ker m_z$, $x \in A_F$ if and only if $\forall y \in F \cap \operatorname{Int}(\mathfrak{su}(n)), \mu(zy) = \mu(zyx)$. Since

$$\mu(zy)\mu(zyx) = \mu_z(y)\mu_z(xy) = m_z(x, y)\mu_z(x) = \mu_z(x)$$

(we use $x \in \ker m = \ker m_z$ in the last equality), we get $A_F = \ker(\mu_z|_{\ker m})$. □

Proposition 2.21. *For an elementary abelian 2 group* $F \subset \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{su}(n))$ *but not contained in* $\operatorname{Int}(\mathfrak{su}(n))$*,* $\ker m$ *is diagonalizable and the conjugacy class of* F *is determined by the conjugacy class of* A_F *and the invariants* $(\epsilon_F, \delta_F, \operatorname{rank} F)$*.*

Proof. When there exists $z \in F$ with $z \sim \tau_0$,

$$F \subset \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{su}(n))^z = (\mathrm{O}(n)/\langle -I\rangle) \times \langle z\rangle.$$

By Lemma 2.18, $m_z = m$. Then (ϵ_F, δ_F) coincides with $(\epsilon_{F'}, \epsilon_{F'})$ when $F' = F \cap \operatorname{Int}(\mathfrak{su}(n))$ is considered as a subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(n)/\langle -I\rangle$. Then the conclusion follows from Proposition 2.12 and Lemma 2.11.

Otherwise, for any $z \in F - F \cap \operatorname{Int}(\mathfrak{su}(n))$, $z \sim \tau_0 \operatorname{Ad}(J_{n/2})$, so

$$F \subset \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{su}(n))^z = (\mathrm{Sp}(n/2)/\langle -I\rangle) \times \langle z\rangle$$

and $\mu_z \equiv 1$. In this case, $A_F = \ker m = F \cap \operatorname{Int}(\mathfrak{su}(n))$, $(\epsilon_F, \delta_F) = (0, 1)$, so the invariants $(\epsilon_F, \delta_F; r_F, s_F)$ must be different with that for a subgroup considered in the first case. The conclusion follows from 2.11. □

2.4. **A class of elementary abelian 2-subgroups and symplectic metric spaces.** The elementary abelian 2-subgroups F of $\mathrm{O}(n)/\langle -I\rangle$ (or $\mathrm{Sp}(n)/\langle -I\rangle$) with non-identity elements all conjugate to $[I_{\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}}], [J_{\frac{n}{2}}]$ (or $[I_{\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}}], [\mathbf{i}I]$) have a particular nice shape.

Proposition 2.22. *For an elementary abelian 2 subgroup F of $\mathrm{O}(n)/\langle -I\rangle$ (or $\mathrm{Sp}(n)/\langle -I\rangle$), any non-identity element of F is conjugate to $[I_{\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}}], [J_{\frac{n}{2}}]$ (or $[I_{\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}}], [\boldsymbol{i}I]$) if and only if any non-identity element of A_F is conjugate to $[I_{\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}}]$.*

Proof. Since elements in $F - A_F$ are all conjugate to $[I_{\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}}], [J_{\frac{n}{2}}]$ (or $[I_{\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}}], [\mathbf{i}I]$) and any element of A_F is not conjugate to $[J_{\frac{n}{2}}]$ (or $[\mathbf{i}I]$), the conclusion follows. □

Regard A_F as a subgroup of $G' = \mathrm{O}(n')/\langle -I\rangle$, $\mathrm{U}(n')/\langle -I\rangle$ or $\mathrm{Sp}(n')/\langle -I\rangle$, where $n' = \frac{n}{2^{s+k}}$ $(k = 2, 1, 0)$, then the condition of any non-identity element of A_F is conjugate to $[I_{\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}}]$ in G is equivalent to any non-identity element of A_F is conjugate to $[I_{\frac{n'}{2},\frac{n'}{2}}]$ in G'.

Let $F^* = \operatorname{Hom}(F, \mathbb{F}_2)$ be the dual group of an elementary abelian 2-group.

Lemma 2.23. *For $n = 2^m s$, s odd, let $K = \{\pm 1\}^n/\langle \underbrace{(-1, ..., -1)}\rangle$ and $F \subset K$ be a rank r subgroup with any non-identity element has $\frac{n}{2}$ components -1 and other $\frac{n}{2}$ components 1. Then one can divide $J = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ into a disjoint union of 2^r subsets $\{J_\alpha : \alpha \in F^*\}$ with each J_α of cardinality $\frac{n}{2^r} = 2^{m-r}s$ such that any element $x \in F$ is of the form*

$$x = \underbrace{[t_1, t_2, ..., t_n]}, \forall i \in J_\alpha, t_i = \alpha(x).$$

Proof. Choose a representative of F in $\{\pm 1\}^n$, we may assume that $F \subset \{\pm 1\}^n$ with any non-identity element has $\frac{n}{2}$ components -1 and other $\frac{n}{2}$ components 1. For any $x \in F$, let $x = \underbrace{(t_{x,1}, t_{x,2}, ..., t_{x,n})}$, $t_{x,i} = \pm 1$. For any $\alpha \in F^*$, define

$$J_\alpha = \{1 \leq i \leq n | \{x \in F : t_{x,i} = 1\} = \ker \alpha\}.$$

Then $J = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ is a disjoint union of 2^r subsets $\{J_\alpha : \alpha \in F^*\}$ and any element $x \in F$ is of the form $x = \underbrace{(t_1, t_2, ..., t_n)}$, $\forall i \in J_\alpha$, $t_i = \alpha(x)$. We only need to show that the cardinarity of each J_α is $\frac{n}{2^r} = 2^{m-r}s$.

Let $\alpha_0 = 0 \in F^*$ be the zero element. For any $\alpha \neq \alpha_0$, count the number of pairs (x, i) with $\alpha(x) = -1$ and $t_{x,i} = -1$. For a fixed $x \in F$, when $x \notin \ker \alpha$, there are $\frac{n}{2}$ such (x, i); when $x \in \ker \alpha$, there are no such (x, i). For a fixed $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, when

$x \notin J_{\alpha_0} \cup J_\alpha$, there are 2^{r-2} such (x, i); when $i \in J_\alpha$, there are 2^{r-1} such (x, i); when $i \in J_{\alpha_0}$, there are no such (x, i). So we have an equality

$$2^{r-1}\frac{n}{2} = (n - |J_\alpha| - |J_{\alpha_0}|)2^{r-2} + |J_\alpha| 2^{r-1},$$

by which we get $|J_\alpha| = |J_{\alpha_0}|$. Then the cardinarity of each J_α is $\frac{n}{2^r} = 2^{m-r}s$. □

Proposition 2.24. *For an elementary abelian 2-group $F \subset \mathrm{O}(n)/\langle -I\rangle$ (or $F \subset \mathrm{Sp}(n)/\langle -I\rangle$) with non-identity elements all conjugate to $[I_{\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}}]$, $[J_{\frac{n}{2}}]$ (or $[I_{\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}}]$, $[\boldsymbol{i}I]$), the conjugacy class of F is determined by the tuple $(\epsilon_F, \delta_F, r_F, s_F)$.*

Proof. This follows from propositions 2.12, 2.16, 2.22 and Lemma 2.23. □

Let $F_{r,s,\epsilon,\delta}$ be an elementary abelian 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(n)/\langle -I\rangle$ (or $\mathrm{Sp}(n)/\langle -I\rangle$) satisfying the properties in Proposition 2.24 and with invariants (ϵ, δ, r, s), which is unique up to conjugation.

Definition 2.25. *A finite-dimensional vector space V over the field $\mathbb{F}_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is called a symplectic vector space if it is associated with a map $m : V \times V \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ such that $m(x, x) = 0$, $m(x, y) = m(y, x)$ and $m(x + y, z) = m(x, z)m(y, z)$ for any $x, y, x \in F$.*

Moreover, it is called a symplectic metric space if there is another map $\mu : V \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ such that $\mu(0) = 0$ and $m(x, y) = \mu(x) + \mu(y) + \mu(x + y)$ for any $x, y \in V$.

Two symplectic metric spaces (V, m, μ) and (V', m', μ') are called isomorphic if there exists a linear space isomorphism $f : V \longrightarrow V'$ transferring (m, μ) to $(m'\mu')$.

Definition 2.26. *For a symplectic metric space V, define $A_V = \ker \mu|_{\ker m}$ and the defect index $\mathrm{defe}\, V = |\{x \in V : \mu(x) = 1\}| - |\{x \in V : \mu(x) = -1\}|$.*

- *When $\mu|_{\ker m} \neq 1$, define $(\epsilon_V, \delta_V) = (1, 0)$;*
- *when $\mu|_{\ker m} = 1$ and $\mathrm{defe}\, V < 0$, define $(\epsilon_V, \delta_V) = (0, 1)$;*
- *when $\mu|_{\ker m} = 1$ and $\mathrm{defe}\, V > 0$, define $(\epsilon_V, \delta_V) = (0, 0)$.*

Define $r_V = \mathrm{rank}\, A_V$, $s_V = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{rank}\, V/\ker m - \delta_F$.

Remark 2.27. *When m is non-degenerate, μ is a non-degenerate quadratic form, in this case δ_V is the Arf invariant of μ. We would like to thank Professor Madsen for reminding this.*

The following proposition is an analogue of 2.12.

Proposition 2.28. *The isomorphism class of a symplectic metric space is determined by the invariants $(r_V, s_V, \epsilon_V, \delta_V)$.*

We have $\mathrm{defe}\, V = (1 - \epsilon)(-1)^\delta 2^{r+s+\delta}$.

Proposition 2.29. *For a vector space V over $\mathbb{F}_2$ of rank 3 with a map $\mu : V \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ ($\mu(0) = 0$), let $m(x, y) = \mu(x) + \mu(y) + \mu(x + y)$. Then (V, m, μ) is a symplectic metric space if and only if m is bilinear, if and only if there are even number of elements in V with non-trivial values of the function μ.*

Proof. m is bilinear if and only if the sum of the values of μ over all elements of V is 0, if and only if there are even elements in V with μ value 1.

Other parts of this proposition are clear. □

Let $V_{r,s;\epsilon,\delta}$ be a symplectic metric space with the prescribed invariants, which is unique up to isomorphism . Let $\mathrm{Sp}(r,s;\epsilon,\delta)$ be the group of automorphisms of $V_{r,s,\epsilon,\delta}$ preserving m and μ. Let $V_{s;\epsilon,\delta}=V_{0,s;\epsilon,\delta}$ and $\mathrm{Sp}(s;\epsilon,\delta)=Sp(0,s;\epsilon,\delta)$. It is clear that, $\mathrm{Sp}(r,s;\epsilon,\delta)=\mathrm{Hom}(V_{s;\epsilon,\delta},\mathbb{F}_2^r)\rtimes(\mathrm{Sp}(s;\epsilon,\delta)\times\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{F}_2^r))$. Let $\mathrm{Sp}(s)=\mathrm{Sp}(s,\mathbb{F}_2)$ be the degree-s symplectic group over the field $\mathbb{F}_2$.

Lemma 2.30. *We have the following formulas for the orders of* $\mathrm{Sp}(s;\epsilon,\delta)$*,*

$$|\mathrm{Sp}(s;0,0)|=(\prod_{1\leq i\leq s-1}(2^{i+1}-1)(2^i+1))\cdot 2^{s^2-s+1},$$
$$|\mathrm{Sp}(s-1;0,1)|=3\cdot(\prod_{1\leq i\leq s-1}(2^i-1)(2^{i+1}+1))\cdot 2^{s^2-s+1},$$
$$|\mathrm{Sp}(s;1,0)|=|\mathrm{Sp}(s)|=(\prod_{1\leq i\leq s}(2^i-1)(2^i+1))2^{s^2}.$$

Proof. When $s=1$ or 0, these are clear. So we just need to calculate

$$|\mathrm{Sp}(s;\epsilon,\delta)|/|\mathrm{Sp}(s-1;\epsilon,\delta)|.$$

We calculate it for the case $\epsilon=\delta=0$, other cases are similar.

$\mathrm{Sp}(s;0,0)$ permutes the non-identity elements $x\in V_{s;0,0}$ with $\mu x=1$, there are $\frac{2^{2s}+2^s}{2}-1=(2^s-1)(2^{s-1}+1)$ such elements. Fix two distinct non-identity elements $x_1,x_2\in V_{s,0,0}$ with $\mu(x_1)=\mu(x_2)=1$ and $m(x_1,x_2)$. For any other x with $\mu(x)=1$ and $(x_1,x)=-1$, (x_1,x) is transformed to (x_1,x_2) under some transformation in $\mathrm{Sp}(s:0,0)$. There are 2^{2s-2} such elements x. So $|\mathrm{Sp}(s;\epsilon,\delta)|/|\mathrm{Sp}(s-1;\epsilon,\delta)|=(2^s-1)(2^{s-1}+1)2^{2s-2}$. ☐

Since

$$V_{s;0,0}\oplus V_{0;1,0}\cong V_{s-1;0,1}\oplus V_{0;1,0}\cong V_{s;1,0},$$

$\mathrm{Sp}(s;0,0)$ and $\mathrm{Sp}(s-1;0,1)$ are subgroups of $\mathrm{Sp}(s;1,0)$.

Proposition 2.31. $\mathrm{Sp}(s;1,0)\cong\mathrm{Sp}(s)$.

Proof. Since $V_{s;1,0}/\ker m=\mathbb{F}_2^{2s}$ is a symplectic space of dimension $2s$, by restriction we get a natural homomorphism $\pi:\mathrm{Sp}(s;1,0)\longrightarrow\mathrm{Sp}(s)$.

Suppose $\pi(f)=1$ for some $f\in Sp(s;1,0)$, then for any $x\in V_{s;1,0}$, $f(x)=x$ or $f(x)=xz$, where $1\neq z\in\ker m$ (which is unique). Since $\mu(xz)=\mu(z)\mu(z)m(x,z)=-\mu(x)\neq\mu(x)$, so $f(x)=x$ for any $x\in V_{s;1,0}$. Thus π is injective.

Surjectivity of π follows from $|\mathrm{Sp}(s;1,0)|=|\mathrm{Sp}(s)|$. ☐

Since an element in $\mathrm{Sp}(s;0,0)$ or $\mathrm{Sp}(s-1;0,1)$ preserves the symplectic form m on $V=\mathbb{F}_2^{2s}$, so we have inclusions $\mathrm{Sp}(s;0,0)\subset\mathrm{Sp}(s)$ and $\mathrm{Sp}(s-1;0,1)\subset\mathrm{Sp}(s)$.

Proposition 2.32. *The indices*

$$[\mathrm{Sp}(s):\mathrm{Sp}(s;0,0)]=2^{s-1}(2^s+1)$$

and

$$[\mathrm{Sp}(s):\mathrm{Sp}(s-1;0,1)]=2^{s-1}(2^s-1).$$

Proof. This follows from Proposition 2.30 directly. ☐

We also define groups $\mathrm{Sp}(s;t)$ $(s,t\geq 0)$ as the automorphism group a symplecic vector space (V,m) over $\mathbb{F}_2$ with $\operatorname{rank} V=2s+t$ and $\operatorname{rank}\ker m=t$. It is clear that $\mathrm{Sp}(s;0)=\mathrm{Sp}(s)$ and

$$\mathrm{Sp}(s;t)=\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_2^{2s},\mathbb{F}_2^{t})\rtimes(\mathrm{GL}(t,\mathbb{F}_2)\times\mathrm{Sp}(s)).$$

3. Exceptional compact simple Lie groups (algebras)

For a complex simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with a specified Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$, let $\Delta=\Delta(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$ be the root system of $\mathfrak{g}$ and B be its Killing form. For any $\lambda\in\mathfrak{h}^{*}$, let $H_{\lambda}\in\mathfrak{h}$ be determined by

$$B(H_{\lambda},H)=\lambda(H),\forall H\in\mathfrak{h}.$$

For any root $\alpha\in\Delta$, let

$$H'_{\alpha}=\frac{2}{\alpha(H_{\alpha})}H_{\alpha}.$$

Then $\{H'_{\alpha}\}$ correspond to the co-roots $\{\alpha^{\vee}=\frac{2\alpha}{\langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle}|\alpha\in\Delta\}$.

For any $\alpha\in\Delta$, let X_{α} be a root vector of the root α, one can normalize the root vectors $\{X_{\alpha},X_{-\alpha}\}$ so that $B(X_{\alpha},X_{-\alpha})=2/\alpha(H_{\alpha})$. Then $[X_{\alpha},X_{-\alpha}]=H'_{\alpha}$. Moreover, one can normalize $\{X_{\alpha}\}$ appropriately, such that

$$\mathfrak{u}_0=\mathrm{span}\{X_{\alpha}-X_{-\alpha},i(X_{\alpha}+X_{-\alpha}),iH_{\alpha}:\alpha\in\Delta\}$$

is a compact real form of $\mathfrak{g}$ ([Kn] pages 348 – 354). From now on, when we talk on a compact real simple Lie algebra, we just mean this specific real form of the complex simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

We order the simple roots in Bourbaki style, and write H'_{α_i} as H'_i for brevity for any simple root α_i.

3.1. **Involutions.** Let $\mathfrak{u}_0$ be a compact simple Lie algebra and $G=\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{u}_0)$ be its automorphism group. The conjugacy classes of involutions in G are in one-one correspondence with the isomorphism classes of real forms of the complexified Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{u}_0\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}$, and also in one-one correspondence with compact irreducible Riemannian symmetric pairs $(\mathfrak{u}_0,\mathfrak{h}_0)$. These objects were classified by Élie Cartan in 1920s. We give representatives of conjugacy classes of involutions the automorphism group $G=\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{u}_0)$ for each compact simple exceptional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}_0$.

i) Type $\mathbf{E_6}$. For $\mathfrak{u}_0=\mathfrak{e}_6$, let τ be a specific diagram involution defined by

$$\begin{aligned}&\tau(H_{\alpha_1})=H_{\alpha_6},\tau(H_{\alpha_6})=H_{\alpha_1},\tau(H_{\alpha_3})=H_{\alpha_5},\tau(H_{\alpha_5})=H_{\alpha_3},\\&\tau(H_{\alpha_2})=H_{\alpha_2},\tau(H_{\alpha_4})=H_{\alpha_4},\tau(X_{\pm\alpha_1})=X_{\pm\alpha_6},\tau(X_{\pm\alpha_6})=X_{\pm\alpha_1},\\&\tau(X_{\pm\alpha_3})=X_{\pm\alpha_5},\tau(X_{\pm\alpha_5})=X_{\pm\alpha_3},\tau(X_{\pm\alpha_2})=X_{\pm\alpha_2},\tau(X_{\pm\alpha_4})=X_{\pm\alpha_4}.\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\sigma_1=\exp(\pi iH'_2),\sigma_2=\exp(\pi i(H'_1+H'_6)),\sigma_3=\tau,\sigma_4=\tau\exp(\pi iH'_2).$$

Then $\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_3,\sigma_4$ represent all conjugacy classes of involutions in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{u}_0)$, which correspond to Riemannian symmetric spaces of type **EII, EIII, EIV, EI** and the corresponding real forms are $\mathfrak{e}_{6,-2},\mathfrak{e}_{6,14},\mathfrak{e}_{6,26},\mathfrak{e}_{6,-6}$. σ_1,σ_2 are inner automorphisms, σ_3,σ_4 are outer automorphisms.

ii) Type $\mathbf{E_7}$. For $\mathfrak{u}_0=\mathfrak{e}_7$, let

$$\sigma_1=\exp(\pi i H_2'),\sigma_2=\exp(\pi i\frac{H_2'+H_5'+H_7'}{2}),\sigma_3=\exp(\pi i\frac{H_2'+H_5'+H_7'+2H_1'}{2}).$$

Then $\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_3$ represent all conjugacy classes of involutions in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{u}_0)$, which correspond to Riemannian symmetric spaces of type **EVI, EVII, EV** and the corresponding real forms are $\mathfrak{e}_{7,3},\mathfrak{e}_{7,25},\mathfrak{e}_{7,-7}$.

iii) Type $\mathbf{E_8}$. For $\mathfrak{u}_0=\mathfrak{e}_8$, let

$$\sigma_1=\exp(\pi i H_2'),\sigma_2=\exp(\pi i(H_2'+H_1')).$$

Then σ_1,σ_2 represent all conjugacy classes of involutions in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{u}_0)$, which correspond to Riemannian symmetric spaces of type **EIX, EVIII** and the corresponding real forms are $\mathfrak{e}_{8,24},\mathfrak{e}_{8,-8}$.

iv) Type $\mathbf{F_4}$. For $\mathfrak{u}_0=\mathfrak{f}_4$, let

$$\sigma_1=\exp(\pi i H_1'),\sigma_2=\exp(\pi i H_4').$$

Then σ_1,σ_2 represent all conjugacy classes of involutions in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{u}_0)$, which correspond to Riemannian symmetric spaces of type **FI, FII** and the corresponding real forms are $\mathfrak{f}_{4,-4},\mathfrak{f}_{4,20}$.

v) Type $\mathbf{G_2}$. For $\mathfrak{u}_0=\mathfrak{g}_2$, let $\sigma=\exp(\pi H_1')$, which represents the unique conjugacy class of involutions in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{u}_0)$, corresponds to Riemannian symmetric space of type **G** and the corresponding real form is $\mathfrak{g}_{2,-2}$.

We remark that, in types $\mathrm{E}_8,\mathrm{F}_4,\mathrm{G}_2$, the automorphism groups of the simple Lie algebras, $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_8),\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{f}_4),\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_2)$, are connected and simply connected. $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$ is not connected and $\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$ is not simply connected, the image of the natural homomorphism $\pi:\mathrm{E}_6\longrightarrow\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$ is $\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$ and the kernel of π $(=Z(\mathrm{E}_6))$ is of odd 3. So E_6 has two conjugacy classes of involutions with representatives $\sigma_1'=\exp(\pi i H_2')$, $\sigma_2'=\exp(\pi i(H_1'+H_6'))$, where $\exp:\mathfrak{e}_6\longrightarrow\mathrm{E}_6$ is the exponential map of E_6. $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$ is connected but not simply connected, the natural homomorphism $\pi:\mathrm{E}_7\longrightarrow\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$ is surjective and the kernel of π $(=Z(\mathrm{E}_7))$ is of order 2. The preimages of σ_2,σ_3 are elements of order 4, and preimages of σ_1 are two non-conjugate involutions. So E_7 has two conjugacy classes of involutions with representatives $\sigma_1'=\exp(\pi i H_2')$, $\sigma_2'=\exp(\pi i(H_1'+H_6'))$, where $\exp:\mathfrak{e}_7\longrightarrow\mathrm{E}_7$ is the exponential map of E_7.

There is an ascending sequence

$$\mathrm{F}_4\subset\mathrm{E}_6\subset\mathrm{E}_7\subset\mathrm{E}_8,$$

we observe that under these inclusions, the involutions σ_2 in F_4, σ_2' in E_6, σ_2' in E_7 are conjugate to the involutions σ_2' in E_6, σ_2' in E_7, σ_2 in E_8 when they are viewed as elements in the larger groups. The conjugacy class containing σ_2 (or σ_2') is particularly important when we define the translation subgroup A_F for an elementary abelian 2 subgroup F.

The following Table 2 (cf, [HY] Tables 1&2) describes the symmetric subgroup $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{u}_0)^\theta$ and its isotropic module $\mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{g}^{-\theta}$ for each compact symmetric pair $(\mathfrak{u}_0,\mathfrak{k}_0)$ with $\mathfrak{u}_0$ an exceptional compact simple Lie algebra.

Remark 3.1. *We apologize that the notation σ_i is used to represent conjugacy classes of involutions in all types of simple Lie groups (algebras). To avoid the ambiguity, we always specify in which group we are talking about conjugacy classes.*

Table 2. Symmetric subgroups and isotropic modules

	θ	$\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{u}_0)^\theta$	$\mathfrak{p}$
EI	$\sigma_4 = \tau \exp(\pi i H_2')$	$(Sp(4)/\langle -1 \rangle) \times \langle \theta \rangle$	V_{ω_4}
EII	$\sigma_1 = \exp(\pi i H_2')$	$(SU(6) \times Sp(1)/\langle (e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} I, 1), (-I, -1) \rangle) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$ $\tau^2 = 1,\ \mathfrak{k}_0^\tau = \mathfrak{sp}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)$	$\wedge^3 \mathbb{C}^6 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$
EIII	$\sigma_2 = \exp(\pi i (H_1' + H_6'))$	$(\mathrm{Spin}(10) \times U(1)/\langle (c, i) \rangle) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$ $\tau^2 = 1,\ \mathfrak{k}_0^\tau = \mathfrak{so}(9)$	$(M_+ \otimes 1) \oplus (M_- \otimes \overline{1})$
EIV	$\sigma_3 = \tau$	$F_4 \times \langle \theta \rangle$	V_{ω_4}
EV	$\sigma_3 = \exp(\pi i (H_1' + H_0'))$	$(SU(8)/\langle iI \rangle) \rtimes \langle \omega \rangle$ $\omega^2 = 1,\ \mathfrak{k}_0^\omega = \mathfrak{sp}(4)$	$\wedge^4 \mathbb{C}^8$
EVI	$\sigma_1 = \exp(\pi i H_2')$	$(\mathrm{Spin}(12) \times Sp(1))/\langle (c, 1), (-1, -1) \rangle$	$M_+ \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$
EVII	$\sigma_2 = \exp(\pi i H_0')$	$((E_6 \times U(1))/\langle (c, e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}) \rangle) \rtimes \langle \omega \rangle$ $\omega^2 = 1,\ \mathfrak{k}_0^\omega = \mathfrak{f}_4$	$(V_{\omega_1} \otimes 1) \oplus (V_{\omega_6} \otimes \overline{1})$
EVIII	$\sigma_2 = \exp(\pi i (H_1' + H_2'))$	$\mathrm{Spin}(16)/\langle c \rangle$	M_+
EIX	$\sigma_1 = \exp(\pi i H_1')$	$E_7 \times Sp(1)/\langle (c, -1) \rangle$	$V_{\omega_7} \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$
FI	$\sigma_1 = \exp(\pi i H_1')$	$(Sp(3) \times Sp(1))/\langle (-I, -1) \rangle$	$V_{\omega_3} \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$
FII	$\sigma_2 = \exp(\pi i H_4')$	$\mathrm{Spin}(9)$	M
G	$\sigma = \exp(\pi i H_1')$	$(Sp(1) \times Sp(1))/\langle (-1, -1) \rangle$	$\mathrm{Sym}^3 \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$

3.2. **Klein four subgroups.** In [HY] Section 4, we classified conjugacy classes of involutions in each symmetric subgroup $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{u}_0)^\theta$ and identify their conjugacy classes in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{u}_0)$ (some details are also given in the following sections), constructed some Klein four subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{u}_0)$ and described the conjugacy classes of involutions in them, it is showed that they represent all conjugacy classes.

The groups $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$, $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$, $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_8)$, $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{f}_4)$, $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_2)$ have 8,8,4,3,1 conjugacy classes of Klein four subgroups in them, most of them are distinguished by their *involution types* (Definition 3.3) except that the Klein four subgroups Γ_1, Γ_2 of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$ have the same involution type (both are $(\sigma_1, \sigma, \sigma_1)$). $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$ can be characterized in this way, a Klein four subgroup $F \subset \mathrm{E}_7$ with $\pi(F) = \Gamma_1$ (respectively, $\pi(F) = \Gamma_2$) have an odd number of elements (respectively, an even number of elements) conjugate to σ_2', where $\pi : \mathrm{E}_7 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$ is a double covering. That is equivalent to say, we can choose a Klein four subgroup $F \subset \mathrm{E}_7$ with $\pi(F) = \Gamma_1$ (respectively, $\pi(F) = \Gamma_2$) such that all of its involutions are conjugate to σ_1' (respectively, σ_2').

Given a Klein four subgroup $F \subset G$, we have six different pairs (θ, σ) generating F, but some of them may be conjugate.

Theorem 3.2 ([HY] Theorem 5.2). *Let (θ, σ), (θ', σ') be two pairs of commuting involutions in $G = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{u}_0)$ for $\mathfrak{u}_0$ a compact exceptional simple Lie algebra, then they are conjugate if and only if*

$$\theta \sim \theta', \sigma \sim \sigma', \theta\sigma \sim \theta'\sigma'$$

and the Klein four subgroups $\langle \theta, \sigma \rangle, \langle \theta', \sigma' \rangle$ are conjugate.

We remark that, $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$ has two non-conjugate Klein four subgroups with involutions all conjugate to σ_1, so the condition of "the Klein four subgroups $\langle \theta, \sigma \rangle$, $\langle \theta', \sigma' \rangle$

are conjugate" can't be omitted in Theorem 3.2. By Theorem 3.2, Table 3 also classifies conjugacy classes of ordered pairs of commuting involutions in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{u}_0)$. Which is another approach to Berger 's classification of semisimple symmetric pairs.

TABLE 3. Klein four subgroups in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{u}_0)$ for exceptional case

$\mathfrak{u}_0$	Γ_i	$\mathfrak{l}_0 = \mathfrak{u}_0^{\Gamma_i}$	Type
$\mathfrak{e}_6$	$\Gamma_1 = \langle \exp(\pi i H_2'), \exp(\pi i H_4') \rangle$	$(\mathfrak{su}(3))^2 \oplus (i\mathbb{R})^2$	$(\sigma_1, \sigma_1, \sigma_1)$
$\mathfrak{e}_6$	$\Gamma_2 = \langle \exp(\pi i H_4'), \exp(\pi i (H_3' + H_4' + H_5')) \rangle$	$\mathfrak{su}(4) \oplus (\mathfrak{sp}(1))^2 \oplus i\mathbb{R}$	$(\sigma_1, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$
$\mathfrak{e}_6$	$\Gamma_3 = \langle \exp(\pi i (H_2' + H_1')), \exp(\pi i (H_4' + H_1')) \rangle$	$\mathfrak{su}(5) \oplus (i\mathbb{R})^2$	$(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_2)$
$\mathfrak{e}_6$	$\Gamma_4 = \langle \exp(\pi i (H_1' + H_6')), \exp(\pi i (H_3' + H_5')) \rangle$	$\mathfrak{so}(8) \oplus (i\mathbb{R})^2$	$(\sigma_2, \sigma_2, \sigma_2)$
$\mathfrak{e}_6$	$\Gamma_5 = \langle \exp(\pi i H_2'), \tau \rangle$	$\mathfrak{sp}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)$	$(\sigma_1, \sigma_3, \sigma_4)$
$\mathfrak{e}_6$	$\Gamma_6 = \langle \exp(\pi i H_2'), \tau \exp(\pi i H_4') \rangle$	$\mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus i\mathbb{R}$	$(\sigma_1, \sigma_4, \sigma_4)$
$\mathfrak{e}_6$	$\Gamma_7 = \langle \exp(\pi i (H_1' + H_6'))), \tau \rangle$	$\mathfrak{so}(9)$	$(\sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_3)$
$\mathfrak{e}_6$	$\Gamma_8 = \langle \exp(\pi i (H_1' + H_6')), \tau \exp(\pi i H_2') \rangle$	$\mathfrak{so}(5) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(5)$	$(\sigma_2, \sigma_4, \sigma_4)$
$\mathfrak{e}_7$	$\Gamma_1 = \langle \exp(\pi i H_2'), \exp(\pi i H_4') \rangle$	$\mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus (i\mathbb{R})^2$	$(\sigma_1, \sigma_1, \sigma_1)$
$\mathfrak{e}_7$	$\Gamma_2 = \langle \exp(\pi i H_2'), \exp(\pi i H_3') \rangle$	$\mathfrak{so}(8) \oplus (\mathfrak{sp}(1))^3$	$(\sigma_1, \sigma_1, \sigma_1)$
$\mathfrak{e}_7$	$\Gamma_3 = \langle \exp(\pi i H_2'), \tau \rangle$	$\mathfrak{so}(10) \oplus (i\mathbb{R})^2$	$(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_2)$
$\mathfrak{e}_7$	$\Gamma_4 = \langle \exp(\pi i H_1'), \tau \rangle$	$\mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus i\mathbb{R}$	$(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$
$\mathfrak{e}_7$	$\Gamma_5 = \langle \exp(\pi i H_2'), \tau \exp(\pi i H_1') \rangle$	$\mathfrak{su}(4) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(4) \oplus i\mathbb{R}$	$(\sigma_1, \sigma_3, \sigma_3)$
$\mathfrak{e}_7$	$\Gamma_6 = \langle \tau, \omega \rangle$	$\mathfrak{f}_4$	$(\sigma_2, \sigma_2, \sigma_2)$
$\mathfrak{e}_7$	$\Gamma_7 = \langle \tau, \omega \exp(\pi i H_1') \rangle$	$\mathfrak{sp}(4)$	$(\sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_3)$
$\mathfrak{e}_7$	$\Gamma_8 = \langle \tau \exp(\pi i H_1'), \omega \exp(\pi i H_3') \rangle$	$\mathfrak{so}(8)$	$(\sigma_3, \sigma_3, \sigma_3)$
$\mathfrak{e}_8$	$\Gamma_1 = \langle \exp(\pi i H_2'), \exp(\pi i H_4') \rangle$	$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus (i\mathbb{R})^2$	$(\sigma_1, \sigma_1, \sigma_1)$
$\mathfrak{e}_8$	$\Gamma_2 = \langle \exp(\pi i H_2'), \exp(\pi i H_1') \rangle$	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus (\mathfrak{sp}(1))^2$	$(\sigma_1, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$
$\mathfrak{e}_8$	$\Gamma_3 = \langle \exp(\pi i H_2'), \exp(\pi i (H_1' + H_4')) \rangle$	$\mathfrak{su}(8) \oplus i\mathbb{R}$	$(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_2)$
$\mathfrak{e}_8$	$\Gamma_4 = \langle \exp(\pi i (H_2' + H_1')), \exp(\pi i (H_5' + H_1')) \rangle$	$\mathfrak{so}(8) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(8)$	$(\sigma_2, \sigma_2, \sigma_2)$
$\mathfrak{f}_4$	$\Gamma_1 = \langle \exp(\pi i H_2'), \exp(\pi i H_1') \rangle$	$\mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus (i\mathbb{R})^2$	$(\sigma_1, \sigma_1, \sigma_1)$
$\mathfrak{f}_4$	$\Gamma_2 = \langle \exp(\pi i H_3'), \exp(\pi i H_2') \rangle$	$\mathfrak{so}(5) \oplus (\mathfrak{sp}(1))^2$	$(\sigma_1, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$
$\mathfrak{f}_4$	$\Gamma_3 = \langle \exp(\pi i H_4'), \exp(\pi i H_3') \rangle$	$\mathfrak{so}(8)$	$(\sigma_2, \sigma_2, \sigma_2)$
$\mathfrak{g}_2$	$\Gamma = \langle \exp(\pi i H_1'), \exp(\pi i H_2') \rangle$	$(i\mathbb{R})^2$	(σ, σ, σ)

3.3. **An outline of the method of the classification.** When $\mathfrak{u}_0$ is of type G_2, it turns out the maximal rank is 3 and there is a unique conjugacy class of elementary abelian 2-subgroups for each rank ≤ 3.

When $\mathfrak{u}_0$ is of type F_4, as $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{f}_4) = \mathrm{F}_4$ doesn't possess a Klein four subgroup with involution type $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_2)$, the union of the subset of elements in an elementary abelian 2-subgroup F of F_4 which are conjugate to σ_2 and the identity element is a subgroup of F. Let A_F be this subgroup, then $r = \mathrm{rank}\, A_F$ and $s = \mathrm{rank}\, F/A_F$ are obviously invariants (up to conjugation). Our work is to show the conjugacy class of F is determined by r, s and $r \leq 2$, $s \leq 3$.

When $\mathfrak{u}_0$ is of type E_6, we divide the elementary abelian 2-subgroups F of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$ into four (disjoint and exhausting) classes:

1), F contains an involution conjugate to σ_3;
2), F doesn't contain any element conjugate to σ_3, but contains one conjugate to σ_4;

3), $F \subset \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$ and it contains no Klein four subgroups conjugate to Γ_3;
4), $F \subset \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$ and it contains a Klein four subgroup conjugate to Γ_3.

As $\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{e}_6)^{\sigma_3} \cong \mathrm{F}_4$ and $\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{e}_6)^{\sigma_4} \cong \mathrm{Sp}(4)/\langle(-I,-1)\rangle$, the classification in Class 1 reduces to F_4 case; the classification in Class 2 reduces to $\mathrm{Sp}(4)/\langle(-I,-1)\rangle$ case, but only the subgroups with any involution conjugate to $\mathbf{i}I$ or $\mathrm{diag}\{-I_2, I_2\}$ are concerned (see the detailed discussion in Section 6 for the reason). Two amusing observations are, any subgroup in Class 3 is of the form $F \cap \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$ for a subgroup F in Class 1, and any subgroup in Class 4 is of the form $F \cap \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$ for a subgroup F in Class 2 (with some additional condition).

When $\mathfrak{u}_0$ is of type E_7, we divide the elementary abelian 2-subgroups F of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$ into three disjoint classes:

1), F contains an involution conjugate to σ_2;
2), F doesn't contain any element conjugate to σ_2, but contains one conjugate to σ_3;
3), any involution in F is conjugate to σ_1.

Since
$$\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)^{\sigma_2} \cong ((\mathrm{E}_6 \times \mathrm{U}(1))/\langle(c, e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}})\rangle \rtimes \langle\omega\rangle,$$
where $1 \neq c \in Z_{\mathrm{E}_6}$, $\omega^2 = 1$, $(\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus i\mathbb{R})^{\omega} = \mathfrak{f}_4 \oplus 0$, $\sigma_2 = (1,-1)$. Modulo $\mathrm{U}(1)$, we have a homomorphism $\pi : G^{\sigma_2} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$. It turns out there is a bijection between conjugacy classes of elementary abelian 2-subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$ in Class 1 and elementary abelian 2-subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$.

Since $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)^{\sigma_3} \cong (\mathrm{SU}(8)/\langle iI\rangle) \rtimes \langle\omega_0\rangle$, where $\omega_0^2 = 1$, $\omega_0 X \omega_0^{-1} = \overline{X}$ for any $X \in \mathrm{SU}(8)$, $\sigma_3 = \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}} I$. So we have a homomorphism
$$\pi : G^{\sigma_3} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{su}(8)) = (\mathrm{U}(8)/\mathrm{Z}_8) \rtimes \langle\omega_0\rangle.$$
There is a bijection between conjugacy classes of elementary abelian 2-subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$ in Class 2 and elementary abelian 2 subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{su}(8))$ whose inner involutions are all conjugate to $I_{4,4} = \mathrm{diag}\{I_4, -I_4\}$ and outer involutions all conjugate to ω_0.

For an elementary abelian 2-subgroup F of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$ in Class 3, we show either F is toral or it contains a rank 3 subgroup whose Klein four subgroups are all conjugate to F_1. In the first case, we can find an involution $\theta \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)^F$ such that elements in θF are all conjugate to σ_3. In the second case, we can find a Klein four subgroup $F' \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)^F$ conjugate to Γ_6. Then F is a (canonical) subgroup of some (well-chosen) subgroup in Class 2 or Class 1.

In type E_8, $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_8) = \mathrm{E}_8$ is connected, simply connected and of adjoint type. E_8 has two conjugacy classes of involutions (with representatives σ_1,σ_2). A nice observation is, for an elementary abelian 2-subgroup F of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_8)$ and an element x of F conjugate to σ_1, the subset
$$H_x = \{y \in F | xy \not\sim y\}$$
is a subgroup. We define H_F as the subgroup generated by elements of F conjugate to σ_1, and
$$A_F = \{1\} \cup \{x \in F | x \sim \sigma_2, \text{ and } \forall y \in F - \{1, x\}, xy \sim y\}.$$
$A_F \subset H_F$ if $H_F \neq 1$.

Since

$$\operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_8)^{\Gamma_1}\cong((\mathrm{E}_6\times\mathrm{U}(1)\times\mathrm{U}(1))/\langle(c,e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}},1)\rangle\rtimes\langle\omega\rangle,$$

where $1\neq c\in Z_{\mathrm{E}_6}$, $\omega^2=1$, $(\mathfrak{e}_6\oplus i\mathbb{R}\oplus i\mathbb{R})^{\omega}=\mathfrak{f}_4\oplus 0\oplus 0$, $\Gamma_1=\langle(1,1,-1),(1,-1,1)\rangle$. Modulo $\mathrm{U}(1)\times\mathrm{U}(1)$, we have a homomorphism $\pi: G^{\sigma_2}\longrightarrow\operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$. π doesn't give a bijection between conjugacy classes of elementary abelian 2-subgroups of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_8)$ containing a Klein four subgroup conjugate to Γ_1 and elementary abelian 2-subgroups of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$. But we can distinguish this ambiguity explicitly. In this way, we get a classification of elementary abelian 2-subgroups of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_8)$ containing a Klein four subgroup conjugate to Γ_1.

When F doesn't contain any Klein four subgroup conjugate to Γ_1 and $H_F\neq 1$, we can show $\operatorname{rank} H_F/A_F=1$, $\operatorname{rank} A_F\leq 3$ and $\operatorname{rank} F/H_F\leq 2$. Moreover, the conjugacy class of F is determined by $\operatorname{rank} A_F$ and $\operatorname{rank} F/H_F$.

When $H_F=1$, $\operatorname{rank} F\leq 5$ and the conjugacy class of F is determined by $\operatorname{rank} F$.

3.4. **Some notions.**

Definition 3.3 (Involution type). *For an elementary abelian 2 subgroup F of a compact Lie group G, we call the distribution of conjugacy classes of involutions in F the involution type of F.*

Definition 3.4 (Automizer group). *For an elementary abelian 2 subgroup F of a compact Lie group G, let $C_G(F)=\{g\in G|gxg^{-1}=x,\forall x\in F\}$ and $N_G(F)=\{g\in G|gxg^{-1}\in F,\forall x\in F\}$. we call $W(F)=N_G(F)/C_G(F)$ the Automizer group of F.*

Conjugation action gives a natural inclusion $W(F)\subset\operatorname{Aut}(F)=\mathrm{GL}(\operatorname{rank}F,\mathbb{F}_2)$. Te get $W(F)$, we need to know which automorphisms of F can the realized as $\operatorname{Ad}(g)$ for some $g\in G$. $W(F)$ is called Weyl group in [AGMV].

We will also introduce other notions like *translation subgroup*, *defect index*, *residual rank* in the following sections. As the definitions of these notions depend on the types of the Lie algebras (or Lie groups), we give the precise definitions in each section below. These notions help us to show the subgroups we constructed in different classes or in the same class but with different parameters are non-conjugate.

4. G_2

For $G=\mathrm{G}_2$, it is of adjoint type and simply connected, there exists a unique conjugacy class of involutions in G. For any involution $x\in G$, $G^x\cong\mathrm{Sp}(1)\times\mathrm{Sp}(1)/\langle(-1,-1)\rangle$. There is a unique conjugacy class of ordered pairs (x,y) generating a Klein four subgroup. For any of such a pair (x,y), $G^{x,y}\cong(\mathrm{U}(1)\times\mathrm{U}(1))\rtimes\langle t\rangle$, where $t^2=1$ and

$$\forall z_1,z_2\in\mathrm{U}(1),\operatorname{Ad}(t)(z_1,z_2)=(z_1^{-1},z_2^{-1}).$$

There is a unique conjugacy class of ordered triples (x,y,z) generating a rank 3 elementary abelian 2-subgroup. For any such a triple (x,y,z), $G^{x,y,z}=\langle x,y,z\rangle$. There are no elementary abelian 2-subgroups of G of rank more than 3.

Let F_r be an elementary abelian 2-subgroup of $G=\mathrm{G}_2$ of rank r, then

$$W(F_r)=N_G(F_r)/C_G(F_r)\cong\mathrm{GL}(r,\mathbb{F}_2).$$

5. F_4

Let $G = \mathrm{F}_4$, which is of adjoint type and simply connected. There are two conjugacy classes of involutions in G with σ_1, σ_2 as their representatives, we have

$$G^{\sigma_1} \cong \mathrm{Sp}(3) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1)/\langle(-I, -1)\rangle,$$

and

$$G^{\sigma_2} \cong \mathrm{Spin}(9).$$

There are three conjugacy classes of Klein four subgroups in G with $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3$ as their representatives, whose involution types are

$$\Gamma_1 : (\sigma_1, \sigma_1, \sigma_1);\ \Gamma_2 : (\sigma_1, \sigma_1, \sigma_2);\ \Gamma_3 : (\sigma_2, \sigma_2, \sigma_2).$$

For σ_1, $G^{\sigma_1} = \mathrm{Sp}(3) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1)/\langle(-I, -1)\rangle$, and we have $\mathfrak{p} \cong V_{\omega_4} \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ as an $\mathrm{Sp}(3) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1)$ module. G^{σ_1}has three conjugacy classes of involutions except $\sigma_1 = (-I, 1) = (I, -1)$, their representatives are $(\mathbf{i}I, \mathbf{i})$, $\big(\begin{pmatrix} -1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1\big)$, $\big(\begin{pmatrix} -1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1\big)$. And in G, we have conjugacy relations $(\mathbf{i}I, \mathbf{i}) \sim \sigma_1$,

$$\big(\begin{pmatrix} -1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1\big) \sim \sigma_1,\ \big(\begin{pmatrix} -1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1\big) \sim \sigma_2.$$

We explain why $(\mathrm{diag}\{-1, 1, 1\}, 1) \sim \sigma_1$. Since the weight space of V_{ω_4} (an $\mathrm{Sp}(3)$ module) is $\{\pm e_1 \pm e_2 \pm e_3, \pm e_1, \pm e_2, \pm e_3\}$ (each of multiplicity one), the subspace fixed by $\mathrm{diag}\{-1, I_2\}$ are the sum of weights spaces of weights $\{\pm e_2 \pm e_3, \pm e_2, \pm e_3\}$, so

$$\dim \mathfrak{u}_0^{(\mathrm{diag}\{-1,1,1\},1)} = \dim(\mathfrak{sp}(1) + \mathfrak{sp}(2) + \mathfrak{sp}(1)) + 8 = 24,$$

so $(\mathrm{diag}\{-1, 1, 1\}, 1) \sim \sigma_1$. Similar calculations lead to other conjugacy relations.

For σ_2, $G^{\sigma_2} = \mathrm{Spin}(9)$, and $\mathfrak{p} \cong M$, the Spinor module of $\mathrm{Spin}(9)$. G^{σ_2} has two conjugacy classes of involutions except $\sigma_2 = -1$, their representatives are $e_1e_2e_3e_4$, $e_1e_2e_3e_4e_5e_6e_7e_8$. And in G, we have conjugacy relations

$$e_1e_2e_3e_4 \sim \sigma_1, e_1e_2...e_8 \sim \sigma_2.$$

The consideration on weights calculates $\dim \mathfrak{u}_0^{\sigma}$, from which we get $\dim \mathfrak{u}_0^{\sigma}$ for all relevant σ and the conjugacy relations.

In $G^{\sigma_1} = \mathrm{Sp}(3) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1)/\langle(-I, -1)\rangle$, let $x_1 = (I, -1)$, $x_2 = (\mathbf{i}I, \mathbf{i})$, $x_3 = (\mathbf{j}I, \mathbf{j})$,

$$x_4 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, x_5 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $0 \leq r \leq 2, 0 \leq s \leq 3$, define

$$F_{r,s} = \langle x_1, ..., x_s, x_4, ..., x_{3+r}\rangle,\ A_r = \langle x_4, ..., x_{3+r}\rangle.$$

Definition 5.1. *For an elementary abelian 2-subgroup $F \subset G = \mathrm{F}_4$, define*

$$A_F = \{x \in F : x \sim \sigma_2\} \cup \{1\}.$$

Lemma 5.2. *For an elementary abelian* 2 *subgroup* $F\subset G$, A_F *is a subgroup with* $\operatorname{rank} A_F\leq 2$ *and* $\operatorname{rank}(F/A_F)\leq 3$.

For each r,s *with* $0\leq r\leq 2$, $0\leq s\leq 3$, *there exists a unique conjugacy class of elementary abelian 2 groups* $F\subset F_4$ *with* $\operatorname{rank} A_F=r$ *and* $\operatorname{rank}(F/A_F)=s$.

Proof. Let $F\subset G$ be an elementary abelian 2-group. Since there are no Klein four subgroups of G with involutions type $(\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_2)$, for any distinct non-identity elements $x,y\in F$ with $x\sim y\sim\sigma_2$, we have $xy\sim\sigma_2$. So A_F is a subgroup.

In $G^{\sigma_2}=\mathrm{Spin}(9)$, besides $\sigma_2=-1$, the elements conjugate to σ_2 in G are all conjugate to $e_1e_2\cdots e_8$ in $\mathrm{Spin}(8)$. There doesn't exist $x,y\in\mathrm{Spin}(9)$ with x,y,xy all conjugate to $e_1e_2\cdots e_8$, so $\operatorname{rank} A_F\leq 2$.

In $G^{\sigma_1}\cong\mathrm{Sp}(3)\times\mathrm{Sp}(1)/\langle(-I,-1)\rangle$, the elements x with $x,\sigma_1x=(-I,1)x$ both conjugate to σ_1 in G are all conjugate to $(\mathbf{i}I,\mathbf{i})$. By this, it is obvious that any elementary abelian 2-subgroup of G whose non-identity elements all conjugate to σ_1 has rank at most 3 ($\langle\sigma_1,(\mathbf{i}I,\mathbf{i}),(\mathbf{j}I,\mathbf{j})\rangle$ is a rank 3 example). Since non-identity elements of a complement of A_F in F are all conjugate to σ_1, so $\operatorname{rank} F/A_F\leq 3$.

In $F=F_{r,s}$, we have $A_F=A_r$ is of rank r and $F/A_F=F_{r,s}/A_r$ is of rank s, so $F=F_{r,s}$ satisfies $\operatorname{rank} A_F=r$ and $\operatorname{rank} F/A_F=s$.

When $s=0$, the uniquness is showed in the proof for $r\leq 2$ as above. When $s\geq 1$, one argues in $G^{\sigma_1}\cong\mathrm{Sp}(3)\times\mathrm{Sp}(1)/\langle(-I,-1)\rangle$ to get the uniqueness. ☐

Proposition 5.3. *For two elementary abelian 2-subgroups* $F,F'\subset G=\mathrm{F}_4$, *if* $f:F\longrightarrow F'$ *is an isomorphism with* $f(x)\sim x,\forall x\in F$, *then there exists* $g\in G$ *such that* $f=\mathrm{Ad}(g)$.

Proof. This is proved in the proof of Lemma 5.2 ☐

Theorem 5.4. *For any* $r\leq 2$, $s\leq 3$, $W(F_{r,s})\cong P(r,s,\mathbb{F}_2)$, *where* $P(r,s,\mathbb{F}_2)$ *is the group of* (r,s) *blockwise upper triangular matrices in* $GL(r+s,\mathbb{F}_2)$.

Proof. For $F=F_{r,s}$, $A_F=A_r$ and any $g\in N_G(F)$ satisfies $gA_rg^{-1}=A_r$. By Proposition 5.3, we have $W(F)=N_G(F)/C_G(F)\cong P(r,s,\mathbb{F}_2)$. ☐

6. E_6

Let $G=\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$, then $G_0=\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$ and $G/G_0\cong\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. There are four conjugacy classes of involutions in G, two of them consist of inner automorphisms (with representatives σ_1,σ_2) and the other two consist of outer automorphisms (with representatives σ_3,σ_4), we have

$$G_0^{\sigma_1}\cong\mathrm{SU}(6)\times\mathrm{Sp}(1)/\langle(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}I,1),(-I,-1)\rangle,$$

$$G_0^{\sigma_2}\cong\mathrm{Spin}(10)\times\mathrm{U}(1)/\langle(c,i)\rangle, c=e_1e_2...e_{10},$$

$$G_0^{\sigma_3}\cong F_4,\quad G_0^{\sigma_4}\cong Sp(4)/\langle -I\rangle.$$

There are 8 conjugacy classes of Klein four subgroups in G with representatives $\Gamma_1,\Gamma_2,\Gamma_3,\Gamma_4,\Gamma_5,\Gamma_6,\Gamma_7,\Gamma_8$, whose involution types are

$$\Gamma_1:(\sigma_1,\sigma_1,\sigma_1),\quad\Gamma_2:(\sigma_1,\sigma_1,\sigma_2),\quad\Gamma_3:(\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_2),\quad\Gamma_4:(\sigma_2,\sigma_2,\sigma_2),$$
$$\Gamma_5:(\sigma_1,\sigma_3,\sigma_4),\quad\Gamma_6:(\sigma_1,\sigma_4,\sigma_4),\quad\Gamma_7:(\sigma_2,\sigma_3,\sigma_3),\quad\Gamma_8:(\sigma_2,\sigma_4,\sigma_4).$$

For σ_1, $G_0^{\sigma_1} \cong \mathrm{SU}(6) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1)/\langle (e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} I, 1), (-I, -1) \rangle$ and $\mathfrak{p} = \wedge^3(\mathbb{C}^6) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$. Representatives of conjugacy classes of involutions in $G_0^{\sigma_1}$ except $\sigma_1 = (I, -1)$ and their conjugacy classes in G are as follows,

$$\Big(\begin{pmatrix} -I_4 & \\ & I_2 \end{pmatrix}, 1\Big) \sim \sigma_2, \Big(\begin{pmatrix} -I_2 & \\ & I_4 \end{pmatrix}, 1\Big) \sim \sigma_1,$$

$$\Big(\begin{pmatrix} iI_5 & \\ & -i \end{pmatrix}, i\Big) \sim \sigma_2, \Big(\begin{pmatrix} iI_3 & \\ & -iI_3 \end{pmatrix}, i\Big) \sim \sigma_1.$$

The identification of conjugacy classes is obtained by calculating all relevant $\dim \mathfrak{u}_0^{\sigma}$.

For σ_2, $G_0^{\sigma_2} \cong \mathrm{Spin}(10) \times \mathrm{U}(1)/\langle (c, i) \rangle$ and $\mathfrak{p} = (M_+ \otimes 1) \oplus (M_- \otimes \overline{1})$, where 1 is the natural module (not the trivial module) of $\mathrm{U}(1)$, i.e., $z \mapsto z, \forall z \in \mathrm{U}(1)$, and $\overline{1}$ is its contragredient module. The representatives of conjugacy classes of involutions in $G_0^{\sigma_2}$ except $\sigma_2 = (-1, 1) = (1, -1)$ and their conjugacy classes in G are as follows,

$$\big(e_1 e_2 e_3 e_4, 1\big) \sim \sigma_1, \ \big(e_1 e_2 ... e_8, 1\big) \sim \sigma_2,$$

$$\big(\Pi, \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}\big) \sim \sigma_2, \ \big(-\Pi, \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}\big) \sim \sigma_1,$$

where

$$\Pi = \frac{1+e_1e_2}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1+e_3e_4}{\sqrt{2}} \cdots \frac{1+e_9e_{10}}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

The identification of conjugacy classes is obtained by calculating $\mathfrak{u}_0^{\sigma}$ from the weights of M_+, M_- as $\mathrm{Spin}(10)$ modules.

For an elementary abelian 2-group $F \subset G$, define $\mu : F \cap G_0 \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ by $\mu(y) = -1$ if $y \sim \sigma_1$, and $\mu(y) = 1$ if $y \sim \sigma_2$. Define

$$m : (F \cap G_0) \times (F \cap G_0) \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\}$$

by $m(y_1, y_2) = \mu(y_1 y_2)\mu(y_1)\mu(y_2)$. Here m is not always a bilinear form.

Definition 6.1. *Define*

$$A_F = \{x \in H \cap G_0 | \mu(x) = 1 \ \textit{and} \ m(x, y) = 1, \forall y \in F \cap G_0\}$$

and $\mathrm{defe}(F) = |\{y \in F \cap G_0 : \mu(y) = 1\}| - |\{y \in F \cap G_0 : \mu(y) = -1\}|$.

We call A_F the *translation subgroup* of F, which has an equivalent definition

$$A_F = \{1\} \cup \{x \in F | x \sim \sigma_2, \text{ and } y \sim xy \text{ for any } y \in F - \langle x \rangle, \}.$$

6.1. Subgroups from F_4.

In $G_0^{\sigma_3} \cong \mathrm{F}_4$, let τ_1, τ_2 be involutions with $\mathfrak{f}_4^{\tau_1} \cong \mathfrak{sp}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)$, $\mathfrak{f}_4^{\tau_2} \cong \mathfrak{so}(9)$, then $\tau_1, \tau_2, \sigma_3\tau_1, \sigma_3\tau_2$ represent all conjugacy classes of involutions in G^{σ_3} except σ_3. And in G we have conjugacy relations $\tau_1 \sim \sigma_1$, $\tau_2 \sim \sigma_2$,

$$\sigma_3\tau_1 \sim \sigma_4, \ \sigma_3\tau_2 \sim \sigma_3.$$

Since we have $\sigma_3 = \tau$ and we can choose $\tau_1 = \exp(\pi i H_2')$ and $\tau_2 = \exp(\pi i(H_1' + H_6'))$. Then the above conjugacy relations are clear.

We have $F_4^{\tau_1} \cong \mathrm{Sp}(3) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1)/\langle (-I, -1) \rangle$. Let $x_0 = \sigma_3$, $x_1 = \tau_2 = \big(I, -1\big)$,

$$x_2 = \big(\mathbf{i}I, \mathbf{i}\big), x_3 = \big(\mathbf{j}I, \mathbf{j}\big),$$

$$x_4 = \Big(\begin{pmatrix} -1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1\Big), x_5 = \Big(\begin{pmatrix} -1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & -1 \end{pmatrix}, 1\Big).$$

Define

$$F_{r,s}=\langle x_0,x_1,...x_s,x_4,...,x_{3+r}\rangle,$$

and

$$F'_{r,s}=\langle x_1,...x_s,x_4,...,x_{3+r}\rangle.$$

Proposition 6.2. *For an elementary abelian 2-group $F\subset G$,if F contains an element conjugate to σ_3, then $F\sim F_{r,s}$ for some (r,s) with $r\leq 2,s\leq 3$; if $F\subset G_0$ and contains no Klein four subgroups conjugate to Γ_3, then $F\sim F'_{r,s}$ for some(r,s) with $r\leq 2,s\leq 3$.*

Proof. For the first statement, we may assume that $\sigma_3\in F$, then $F\subset G^{\sigma_3}=F_4\times\langle\sigma_3\rangle$. So $F\sim F_{r,s}$ $(r\leq 2,s\leq 3)$ by Theorem 5.4.

For the latter statement, since F doesn't contain any Klein four subgroup of involutions type $(\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_2)$, so $A_F=\{1\}\cup\{x\in F|x\sim\sigma_2\}$. Consideration in the groups $G_0^{\sigma_1}\cong\mathrm{SU}(6)\times\mathrm{Sp}(1)/\langle(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}I,1),(-I,-1)\rangle$ and $G_0^{\sigma_2}\cong\mathrm{Spin}(10)\times\mathrm{U}(1)/\langle(c,i)\rangle$ (with the comparison of conjugacy classes of involutions in them and G) enables we to show that $\operatorname{rank}A_F\leq 2$, $\operatorname{rank}(F/A_F)\leq 3$ and the conjugacy class of F is uniquely determined by $\operatorname{rank}A_F$ and $\operatorname{rank}(F/A_F)$. The proof is similar as the proof for Lemma 5.2. Then $F\sim F'_{r,s}$ $(r\leq 2,s\leq 3)$ since $F'_{r,s}$ has the same invariants as F. □

Lemma 6.3. *For an subgroup F in Proposition 6.2,*

$$\forall x,y\in F\cap G_0,\ m(x,y)=-1\Leftrightarrow x,y\in F\cap G_0-A_F.$$

Proof. It follows from $\{1\}\cup\{x\in F|x\sim\sigma_2\}=A_F$ and it is a subgroup. □

6.2. **Subgroups from** $\mathrm{Sp}(4)/\langle -I\rangle$**.** For σ_4, $G_0^{\sigma_4}\cong\mathrm{Sp}(4)/\langle -I\rangle$ and $\mathfrak{p}\cong V_{\omega_4}$. Let $\tau_1=\mathbf{i}I$, $\tau_2=\begin{pmatrix}-I_2&\\&I_2\end{pmatrix}$, $\tau_3=\begin{pmatrix}-1&\\&I_3\end{pmatrix}$, then $\tau_1,\tau_2,\tau_3,\sigma_4\tau_1,\sigma_4\tau_2,\sigma_4\tau_3$ represent all conjugacy classes of involutions in G^{σ_4} except σ_4. And in G we have conjugacy relations $\tau_1\sim\sigma_1$, $\tau_2\sim\sigma_2$, $\tau_3\sim\sigma_1$,

$$\sigma_4\tau_1\sim\sigma_4,\ \sigma_4\tau_2\sim\sigma_4,\ \sigma_4\tau_3\sim\sigma_3.$$

The identification is obtained by calculating $\dim\mathfrak{u}_0^{\sigma}$ with considering the weights of V_{ω_4} as an $\mathrm{Sp}(4)$ module.

Let $x_0=\sigma_4$, $x_1=\mathbf{i}I$, $x_2=\mathbf{j}I$,

$$x_3=\begin{pmatrix}-I_2&\\&I_2\end{pmatrix},x_4=\begin{pmatrix}0&I_2\\I_2&0\end{pmatrix},$$

$$x_5=\begin{pmatrix}1&0&&\\0&-1&&\\&&1&0\\&&0&-1\end{pmatrix},x_6=\begin{pmatrix}0&1&&\\1&0&&\\&&0&1\\&&1&0\end{pmatrix}.$$

For any (ϵ,δ,r,s) with $\epsilon+\delta\leq 1,r+s\leq 2$, define

$$F_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}=\langle x_0,x_1,...,x_{\epsilon+2\delta},x_3,...,x_{r+2s}\rangle$$

and

$$F'_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}=\langle x_1,...,x_{\epsilon+2\delta},x_3,...,x_{r+2s}\rangle.$$

Proposition 6.4. *For an elementary abelian* 2*-group* $F \subset G$*, if* $F \not\subset G_0$ *and it contains no elements conjugate to* σ_3*, then* $F \sim F_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}$ *for some* (ϵ,δ,r,s) *with* $\epsilon+\delta \leq 1$ *and* $r+s \leq 2$*; if* $F \subset G_0 = \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$ *and contains a Klein four subgroup conjugate to* Γ_3*, then* $F \sim F'_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}$ *for some* (ϵ,δ,r,s) *with* $\epsilon+\delta \leq 1, r+s \leq 2, s \geq 1$.

Proof. For the first statement, we may assume that $\sigma_4 \in F$, then $F \cap G_0 \subset G_0^{\sigma_4} \cong \mathrm{Sp}(4)/\langle -I \rangle$. Any involution in $\mathrm{Sp}(4)/\langle -I \rangle$ is conjugate to one of

$$\tau_1 = [\mathbf{i}I], \tau_2 = [\mathrm{diag}\{I_2, -I_2\}], \tau_3 = [\mathrm{diag}\{1, -I_3\}].$$

Since $\sigma_4\tau_3 \sim \sigma_3$ in G and we assume F contains no elements conjugate to σ_3, so any non-identity element of $F \cap G_0$ is conjugate to τ_1 or τ_2 in $\mathrm{Sp}(4)/\langle -I \rangle$. Then $F \cap G_0 \subset \mathrm{Sp}(4)/\langle -I \rangle$ is in the subclass discussed in Subsection 2.4. Then $F \sim F_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}$ for some (ϵ,δ,r,s) with $\epsilon+\delta \leq 1$ and $r+s \leq 2$ by Proposition 2.24.

For the second statement, we may assume that $\Gamma_3 \subset F$, then

$$F \subset G_0^{\Gamma_3} \cong (\mathrm{U}(5)\times\mathrm{U}(1))/\langle(-I,-1),(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}},1)\rangle \cong (\mathrm{U}(5)/\langle e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\rangle)\times\mathrm{U}(1).$$

($(A,\lambda) \longmapsto (\lambda A, \lambda^2)$ gives an isomorphism $(\mathrm{U}(5)\times\mathrm{U}(1))/\langle(-I,-1)\rangle \cong \mathrm{U}(5)\times\mathrm{U}(1)$.) Since any abelian subgroup of $\mathrm{U}(5)\times\mathrm{U}(1)$ is total, so $F \subset G_0$ is total. We may assume that $F \subset \exp(\mathfrak{h}_0)$ for a maximal torus $\mathfrak{h}_0$ of $\mathfrak{u}_0 = \mathfrak{e}_6$. Choose a Chevelley involution θ of $\mathfrak{u}_0$ with respect to $\mathfrak{h}_0$. Then $\langle F, \theta\rangle$ is an elementary abelian 2-group without elements conjugate to σ_3. By the first statement, $\langle F, \theta\rangle \sim F_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}$ for some (r,s). Then $F \sim F'_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}$. Since we assume F contains a Klein four subgroup conjugate to Γ_3, so $s \geq 1$. □

For an elementary abelian 2-group $F \subset G$ without elements conjugate to σ_3, but contains an element conjugate to σ_4, for any $x \in F$ with $x \sim \sigma_4$, we have $F \cap G_0 \subset G_0^{\sigma_4} \cong \mathrm{Sp}(4)/\langle -I \rangle$, with this inclusion we have a function $\mu_x : F \cap G_0 \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ and a map $m_x : (F \cap G_0) \times (F \cap G_0) \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ (Subsection 2.2).

Lemma 6.5. *We have* $\mu_x = \mu$ *and* $m_x = m$.

Proof. We may assume that $x = \sigma_4$, then $F \cap G_0 \subset G_0^{\sigma_4} \cong \mathrm{Sp}(4)/\langle -I \rangle$. As F doesn't have any element conjugate to σ_3, any element of $F \cap G_0$ is conjugate to $\tau_1 = \mathbf{i}I$ or $\tau_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -I_2 & \\ & I_2 \end{pmatrix}$ in $G_0^{\sigma_4} \cong \mathrm{Sp}(4)/\langle -I \rangle$. Since $\tau_1 \sim_G \sigma_1$ and $\tau_2 \sim_G \sigma_2$, so $\mu_x = \mu$. Then $m_x = m$ as well. □

6.3. **Automizer groups.**

Proposition 6.6. *We have the following formuas for* $\mathrm{rank}\, A_F$ *and* $\mathrm{defe}\, F$*,*

1. *for* $F = F_{r,s}$*,* $r \leq 2$*,* $s \leq 3$*,* $\mathrm{rank}\, A_F = r$*,* $\mathrm{defe}\, F = 2^r(2-2^s)$*;*
2. *for* $F = F'_{r,s}$*,* $r \leq 2$*,* $s \leq 3$*,* $\mathrm{rank}\, A_F = r$*,* $\mathrm{defe}\, F = 2^r(2-2^s)$*;*
3. *for* $F = F_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}$*,* $\epsilon+\delta \leq 1$*,* $r+s \leq 2$*,* $\mathrm{rank}\, A_F = r$*,* $\mathrm{defe}\, F = (1-\epsilon)(-1)^{\delta}2^{r+s+\delta}$*;*
4. *for* $F = F'_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}$*,* $\epsilon+\delta \leq 1$*,* $r+s \leq 2$*,* $s \geq 1$*,* $\mathrm{rank}\, A_F = r$*,* $\mathrm{defe}\, F = (1-\epsilon)(-1)^{\delta}2^{r+s+\delta}$.

Proof. They follow from Lemmas 6.3 and 6.5. □

From the formulas of $\mathrm{rank}\, A_F$ and $\mathrm{defe}\, F$, we know that the subgroups in the same family with different parameters are non-conjugate, the subgroups in different families are clearly non-conjugate, so these subgroups are non-conjugate to each other. In total, we have $3\times 4+3\times 4+3\times 6+3\times 3=51$ conjugacy classes.

Proposition 6.7. *For two elementary abelian 2-subgroups* $F, F'\subset G$*, if an automorphism* $f: F\longrightarrow F'$ *has the property* $f(x)\sim x$ *for any* $x\in F$*, then* $f=\mathrm{Ad}(g)$ *for some* $g\in G$*.*

Proof. We may assume that $F=F'$ and they are one of $F_{r,s}, F'_{r,s}, F_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}, F_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}$.

When $F=F'=F_{r,s}$, we may assume $f(\sigma_3)=\sigma_3$, then $F\cap G_0=F'\cap G_0\subset G_0^{\sigma_3}=F_4$. By the proof of Lemma 5.2, we get there exists $g\in G_0^{\sigma_3}$ such that $f=\mathrm{Ad}(g)$.

When $F=F'=F_{r,s}$, similar as the proof of Lemma 5.2, we can show there exists $g\in G_0$ such that $f=\mathrm{Ad}(g)$.

When $F=F'=F_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}$, we may assume $f(\sigma_4)=\sigma_4$, then $F\cap G_0=F'\cap G_0\subset G_0^{\sigma_4}=Sp(4)/\langle -I\rangle$ and non-identity elements of $F\cap G_0=F'\cap G_0$ all conjugate to $\mathbf{i}I, [I_{2,2}]$ in $Sp(4)/\langle -I\rangle$. Then $f=\mathrm{Ad}(g)$ for some $g\in G_0^{\sigma_4}$ by Proposition 2.10.

When $F=F'=F'_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}$, since $F'_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}\subset G_0^{\sigma_4}=Sp(4)/\langle -I\rangle$, then $f=\mathrm{Ad}(g)$ for some $g\in G_0^{\sigma_4}$ by Proposition 2.10. □

Proposition 6.8. *We have the following description for the Automizer groups,*

1. $r\leq 2$, $s\leq 3$, $W(F_{r,s})\cong(\mathbb{F}_2)^r\rtimes P(r,s,\mathbb{F}_2)$;
2. $r\leq 2$, $s\leq 3$, $W(F'_{r,s})\cong P(r,s,\mathbb{F}_2)$;
3. $\epsilon+\delta\leq 1$, $r+s\leq 2$,

$$W(\mathbb{F}_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s})\cong F_2^{r+2s+\epsilon+2\delta}\rtimes\big(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_2^{\epsilon+2\delta+2s},\mathbb{F}_2^r)\rtimes(\mathrm{GL}(r,\mathbb{F}_2)\times\mathrm{Sp}(s;\epsilon,\delta))\big);$$

4. $\epsilon+\delta\leq 1$, $r+s\leq 2$, $s\geq 1$,

$$W(F'_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s})\cong\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_2^{\epsilon+2\delta+2s},\mathbb{F}_2^r)\rtimes(\mathrm{GL}(r,\mathbb{F}_2)\times\mathrm{Sp}(s;\epsilon,\delta)).$$

Proof. The action of any $w\in W(F)$ preserves μ and m on $F\cap G_0$, and conjugacy classes of elements in $F-G_0$. By Proposition 6.7, an automorphism of F preserves these data is actually the action of some $w\in W(F)$ on F.

Then by Lemmas 6.3 and 6.5, we get these Automizer groups. □

7. E_7

Let $G=\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$, there exists three conjugacy classes of involutions in G with representatives $\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_3$, we have

$$G^{\sigma_1}\cong(\mathrm{Spin}(12)\times\mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle(c,1),(-c,-1)\rangle,$$
$$G^{\sigma_2}\cong((\mathrm{E}_6\times\mathrm{U}(1))/\langle(c',e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}})\rangle\rtimes\langle\omega\rangle,$$
$$G^{\sigma_3}\cong(\mathrm{SU}(8)/\langle iI\rangle)\rtimes\langle\omega\rangle,$$

where $c=e_1e_2\cdots e_{12}$, $1\neq c'\in Z_{E_6}$, $\omega^2=1$, and

$$(\mathfrak{e}_6\oplus i\mathbb{R})^{\omega}=\mathfrak{f}_4\oplus 0,\mathfrak{su}(8)^{\omega}\cong\mathfrak{sp}(4).$$

There exists 8 conjugacy classes of Klein four subgroups of G, their representatives and involution types are as follows,

$$\Gamma_1:(\sigma_1,\sigma_1,\sigma_1),\ \Gamma_2:(\sigma_1,\sigma_1,\sigma_1),\ \Gamma_3:(\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_2),\ \Gamma_4:(\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_3),$$
$$\Gamma_5:(\sigma_1,\sigma_3,\sigma_3),\ \Gamma_6:(\sigma_2,\sigma_2,\sigma_2),\ \Gamma_7:(\sigma_2,\sigma_3,\sigma_3),\ \Gamma_8:(\sigma_3,\sigma_3,\sigma_3).$$

For an elementary abelian 2-group $F \subset G$, define

$$H_F = \{1\} \cup \{x \in F | x \sim \sigma_1\}.$$

Define $m : H_F \times H_F \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ by $m(x, y) = -1$ if $\langle x, y\rangle \sim \Gamma_1$, and $m(x, y) = 1$ otherwise.

Definition 7.1. *Define the translation subgroup*

$$A_F := \{x \in H_F | \forall y \in F - H_F, y \sim xy, \ \text{and}\ \forall y \in H_F, m(x, y) = 1\}$$

and the defect index

$$\mathrm{defe}(F) = |\{x \in F : x \sim \sigma_2\}| - |\{x \in F : x \sim \sigma_3\}|.$$

For $x \in F$ with $x \sim \sigma_2$, let $H_x := \{y \in H_F | xy \sim \sigma_2\}$, which is not always a subgroup.

Lemma 7.2. *H_F is a subgroup of F and* $\mathrm{rank}(F/H_F) \leq 2$.

Proof. Since the product of any two distinct elements in F conjugate to σ_1 is also conjugate to σ_1, so H_F is a subgroup.

Suppose $\mathrm{rank}(F/H_F) \geq 3$, then there exists a rank 3 subgroup $F' \subset F$ with $H_{F'} = 1$. For any $1 \neq x \in F'$, $G^x \cong G^{\sigma_2}$ or G^{σ_3} has only two connected components, so $\mathrm{rank}(G_0^x \cap F') \geq 2$. Choose $y \in G_0^x - \langle x\rangle$, then $\langle x, y\rangle$ is a toral Klein four subgroup of G. Then at least one of x, y, xy is conjugate to σ_1, which contradicts to $H_{F'} = 1$. □

7.1. Subgroups from E_6.

In

$$G^{\sigma_2} \cong \big((\mathrm{E}_6 \times \mathrm{U}(1))/\langle(c, e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}})\big) \rtimes \langle\omega\rangle,$$

let $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathrm{E}_6$ be involutions with

$$\mathfrak{e}_6^{\tau_1} \cong \mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1), \ \ \mathfrak{e}_6^{\tau_2} \cong \mathfrak{so}(10) \oplus i\mathbb{R}.$$

Let $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathrm{E}_6^{\omega} \cong \mathrm{F}_4$ be involutions with

$$\mathfrak{f}_4^{\eta_1} \cong \mathfrak{sp}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1), \mathfrak{f}_4^{\eta_2} \cong \mathfrak{so}(9),$$

and let $\tau_3 = \omega$, $\tau_4 = \eta_1\omega$. Then $\tau_1, \tau_2, \sigma_2\tau_1, \sigma_2\tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4$ represent all conjugacy classes of involutions in G^{σ_2} except σ_2. In G we have conjugacy relations

$$\tau_1 \sim \tau_2 \sim \sigma_1, \sigma_2\tau_1 \sim \sigma_3, \sigma_2\tau_2 \sim \sigma_2,$$

$$\tau_3 \sim \sigma_2\tau_3 \sim \sigma_2, \tau_4 \sim \sigma_2\tau_4 \sim \sigma_3.$$

Moreover, in G^{σ_2}, we have conjugacy relations

$$\eta_1 \sim_{\mathrm{E}_6} \tau_1, \eta_2 \sim_{\mathrm{E}_6} \tau_2, \eta_2\omega \sim_{\mathrm{E}_6} \omega.$$

Recall that, we choose $\sigma_2 = \exp(\pi i\frac{H_2'+H_5'+H_7'}{2})$, $\mathfrak{g}^{\sigma_2}$ has a simple root system

$$\{\alpha_5 + \alpha_6, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_4, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 + \alpha_5, \alpha_6 + \alpha_7\}(\text{Type } \mathrm{E}_6)$$

we can choose $\tau_1 = \exp(\pi i H_1')$, $\tau_2 = \exp(\pi i(H_5' + H_7'))$, then the conjugacy relations $\tau_1 \sim \tau_2 \sim \sigma_1$, $\sigma_2\tau_1 \sim \sigma_3$, $\sigma_2\tau_2 \sim \sigma_2$ are clear.

Moreover, we have

$$\omega = \exp(\frac{\pi(X_{\alpha_2} - X_{-\alpha_2})}{2}) \exp(\frac{\pi(X_{\alpha_5} - X_{-\alpha_5})}{2}) \exp(\frac{\pi(X_{\alpha_7} - X_{-\alpha_7})}{2})$$

and we can choose $\eta_1=\exp(\pi i H'_1)$, then we get the conjugacy relations $\tau_3\sim\sigma_2\tau_3\sim\sigma_2$ and $\tau_4\sim\sigma_2\tau_4\sim\sigma_3$.

The conjugacy relations relations $\eta_1\sim_{E_6}\tau_1$, $\eta_2\sim_{E_6}\tau_2$, $\eta_2\omega\sim_{E_6}\omega$ are obtained from consideration in the group $E_6\rtimes\langle\omega\rangle$, similar as the study of conjugacy classes in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_6)^{\sigma_3}$ in E_6 case, the elements $\tau_1,\tau_2,\omega,\eta_1\omega,\eta_1,\eta_2$ correspond to $\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_3,\sigma_4,\tau_1,\tau_2$ there.

Let L_1,L_2,L_3,L_4 be Klein four subgroups of E_6 of involution types (τ_1,τ_1,τ_1), (τ_1,τ_1,τ_2), (τ_1,τ_2,τ_2), (τ_2,τ_2,τ_2) respectively, then

$$(\mathfrak{e}_7^{\sigma_2})^{L_1}\cong\mathfrak{su}(3)^2\oplus(i\mathbb{R})^3,\ (\mathfrak{e}_7^{\sigma_2})^{L_2}\cong\mathfrak{su}(4)\oplus\mathfrak{su}(2)^2\oplus(i\mathbb{R})^2,$$
$$(\mathfrak{e}_7^{\sigma_2})^{L_3}\cong\mathfrak{su}(5)\oplus(i\mathbb{R})^3,\ (\mathfrak{e}_7^{\sigma_2})^{L_4}\cong\mathfrak{so}(8)\oplus(i\mathbb{R})^3.$$

Lemma 7.3. *In G, $L_1\sim L_3\sim F_1$ and $L_2\sim L_4\sim F_2$.*

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{su}(3)^2\oplus(i\mathbb{R})^3,\mathfrak{su}(5)\oplus(i\mathbb{R})^3$ are not symmetric subalgebras of $\mathfrak{e}_7^{F_2}\cong\mathfrak{so}(8)\oplus\mathfrak{su}(2)^3$ and $\mathfrak{su}(4)\oplus\mathfrak{su}(2)^2\oplus(i\mathbb{R})^2,\mathfrak{so}(8)\oplus(i\mathbb{R})^3$ are not symmetric subalgebras of $\mathfrak{e}_7^{F_1}\cong\mathfrak{su}(6)\oplus(i\mathbb{R})^2$, so L_1,L_3 are conjugate to F_1 in G and L_2,L_4 are conjugate to F_2 in G. □

Let $F\subset G=\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$ be an elementary abelian 2-subgroup containing an element conjugate to σ_2, we may assume that $\sigma_2\in F$, then

$$F\subset G^{\sigma_2}\cong((\mathrm{E}_6\times\mathrm{U}(1))/\langle(c,e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}})\rangle)\rtimes\langle\omega\rangle,$$

where c is a non-trivial central element of E_6, $c^3=1$, $\omega^2=1$ and $(\mathfrak{e}_6\oplus i\mathbb{R})^{\omega}=\mathfrak{f}_4\oplus 0$. Let $G_{\sigma_2}=(\mathrm{E}_6\times 1)\ltimes\langle\omega\rangle$ be the subgroup generated by E_6 $(=\mathrm{E}_6\times 1)$ and ω. This definition of G_{σ_2} is not quite canonical, another choice is to define it as $(\mathrm{E}_6\times 1)\ltimes\langle\sigma_2\omega\rangle$, but these are conjugate since

$$\begin{aligned}&(1,i)\omega(1,i)^{-1}=\omega(\omega^{-1}(1,i)\omega)(1,i)^{-1}\\&=\omega(1,-i)(1,-i)=\omega(1,-1)\\&=\omega\sigma_2.\end{aligned}$$

and so they are equivalent,

Lemma 7.4. *For an elementary abelian 2-group $F\subset G=\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$ contains σ_2, in the inclusion $F\subset G^{\sigma_2}\cong((\mathrm{E}_6\times\mathrm{U}(1))/\langle(c,e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}})\rangle)\rtimes\langle\omega\rangle$, we have $H_F=F\cap\mathrm{E}_6$.*

Moreover, the map $m: H_F\times H_F\longrightarrow\{\pm1\}$ is equal to the the similar map when H_F is viewed as a subgroup of E_6 (or $\mathrm{E}_6/\langle c\rangle=\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$).

Proof. $H_F=F\cap\mathrm{E}_6$ follows from the comparison of conjugacy classes of involutions in G^{σ_2} and in G. The two maps m are equal follows from Lemma 7.3. □

We have a 3-fold covering $\pi: G_{\sigma_2}\longrightarrow\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$ and an inclusion $p: G_{\sigma_2}\longrightarrow G^{\sigma_2}$. For any elementary abelian 2-subgroup K of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$, $p(\pi^{-1}K)\times\langle\sigma_2\rangle$ is the direct product of its unique Sylow 2 subgroup F and $\langle(c,1)\rangle$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}&\{F_{r,s}: r\leq 2, s\leq 3\},\{F'_{r,s}: r\leq 2, s\leq 3\},\\&\{F_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}:\epsilon+\delta\leq 1, r+s\leq 2\},\\&\{F'_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}:\epsilon+\delta\leq 1, r+s\leq 2, s\geq 1\}\end{aligned}$$

be elementary abelian 2 subgroups of $G^{\sigma_2}\subset G$ obtained from the subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$ with the corresponding notation in this way.

Proposition 7.5. *Any elementary abelian 2-subgroup of G with an element conjugate to σ_2 is conjugate to one of $F_{r,s}$, $F'_{r,s}$, $F_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}$, $F'_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}$.*

Proof. We may assume that $\sigma_2 \in F$, then $F \subset G^{\sigma_2} \cong ((\mathrm{E}_6 \times U(1))/\langle(c, e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}})\rangle) \rtimes \langle\omega\rangle$.

When $\operatorname{rank}(F/H_F) = 2$, we may assume that $\omega \in F$ or $\tau_4 = \eta_1\omega \in F$, then $F \subset (\mathrm{E}_6 \rtimes \langle\omega\rangle) \times \langle\sigma_2\rangle$. When $\operatorname{rank}(F/H_F) = 1$, we have $F \subset E_6 \times \langle\sigma_2\rangle$. The conclusion follows from the classification in E_6 case (Propositions 6.2 and 6.4). □

Proposition 7.6. *The four families have the following characterization, so subgroups in different families are not conjugate to each other.*

1. *F is conjugate to some $F_{r,s}$ if and only if F contains a subgroup conjugate to Γ_6;*
2. *F is conjugate to some $F'_{r,s}$ if and only if $\operatorname{rank}(F/H_F) = 1$, F contains an element x conjugate to σ_2 and H_x is a subgroup;*
3. *F is conjugate to some $F_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}$ if and only if $\operatorname{rank}(F/H_F) = 2$, F contains an element conjugate to σ_2 but contains no subgroups conjugate to Γ_6;*
4. *F is conjugate to some $F'_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}$ if and only if $\operatorname{rank}(F/H_F) = 1$, F contains an element conjugate to σ_2 and H_x is not a subgroup.*

Proof. (1) and (3) are clear. (2) and (4) follow from the comparison of conjugacy classes of involutions in G^{σ_2} and G and the classification of elementary abelian 2-subgroups of $\operatorname{Int}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$ (Propositions 6.2 and 6.4). □

We make a remark here, for subgroups in case (2) and (4), if one H_x ($x \in F$ with $x \sim \sigma_2$) is an subgroup, then any other $H_{x'}$ ($x' \in F$ with $x' \sim \sigma_2$) is an subgroup (happens in Case (2)); conversely, if one H_x ($x \in F$ with $x \sim \sigma_2$) is not an subgroup, then any other $H_{x'}$ ($x' \in F$ with $x' \sim \sigma_2$) is not an subgroup.

Proposition 7.7. *We have the following formulas for $\operatorname{rank} A_F$ and $\operatorname{defe} F$.*

1. *For $F = F_{r,s}$, $r \leq 2$, $s \leq 3$, $\operatorname{rank} A_F = r$, $\operatorname{defe} F = 3 \cdot 2^r(2 - 2^s)$;*
2. *For $F = F'_{r,s}$, $r \leq 2$, $s \leq 3$, $\operatorname{rank} A_F = r$, $\operatorname{defe} F = 2^r(2 - 2^s)$;*
3. *For $F = F_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}$, $\epsilon + \delta \leq 1$, $r + s \leq 2$, $\operatorname{rank} A_F = r$, $\operatorname{defe} F = (1 - \epsilon)(-1)^{\delta}2^{r+s+\delta} - 2^{1+r+\epsilon+2s+2\delta}$;*
4. *For $F = F'_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}$, $\epsilon + \delta \leq 1$, $r + s \leq 2$, $s \geq 1$, $\operatorname{rank} A_F = r$, $\operatorname{defe} F = (1 - \epsilon)(-1)^{\delta}2^{r+s+\delta}$.*

Proof. These follows from Lemma 7.4 and Proposition 6.6. □

By Propositions 7.6 and 7.7, any two of $\{F_{r,s}\}$, $\{F'_{r,s}\}$, $\{F_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}\}$, $\{F'_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}\}$ are non-conjugate.

7.2. **Subgroups from** SU(8) **or** SO(8). We have

$$G^{\sigma_3} \cong (\mathrm{SU}(8)/\langle iI\rangle) \rtimes \langle\omega\rangle,$$

$\omega^2 = 1$, $(\mathfrak{u}_0^{\sigma_3})^{\omega} = \mathfrak{sp}(4)$, and $\mathfrak{p} \cong \wedge^4(\mathbb{C}^8)$. Let $\tau_1 = [I_{2,6}]$ and $\tau_2 = [I_{4,4}]$.

Let $\omega_0 = \omega \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_4 \\ -I_4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\omega_0^2 = 1$ and $(\mathrm{SU}(8)/\langle iI\rangle)^{\omega_0} = (\mathrm{SO}(8)/\langle -I\rangle) \times \langle\sigma_3\rangle$. In $(\mathrm{SU}(8)/\langle iI\rangle)_0^{\omega_0} = \mathrm{SO}(8)/\langle -I\rangle$, let

$$\eta_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_4 \\ -I_4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \eta_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -I_4 & \\ & I_4 \end{pmatrix}, \ \eta_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -I_2 & \\ & I_6 \end{pmatrix},$$

$\eta_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{1,3} \\ -I_{1,3} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where $I_{1,3} = \operatorname{diag}\{-1,1,1,1\}$. Let $\tau_3 = \omega_0$, $\tau_4 = \eta_1\omega_0$.

Then $\tau_1, \tau_2, \sigma_3\tau_1, \sigma_3\tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4, \sigma_3\tau_4$ represent all conjugacy classes of involutions in G^{σ_3} except $\sigma_3 = \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}I$, and we have conjugacy relations in G,

$$\tau_1 \sim \tau_2 \sim \sigma_1,\ \sigma_3\tau_1 \sim \sigma_2,\ \sigma_3\tau_2 \sim \sigma_3,$$

$$\tau_3 \sim \sigma_3, \tau_4 \sim \sigma_2, \tau_4\sigma_3 \sim \sigma_3.$$

The conjugacy relations $\tau_1 \sim \tau_2 \sim \sigma_1$, $\sigma_3\tau_1 \sim \sigma_2$, $\sigma_3\tau_2 \sim \sigma_3$ are obtained by calculating $\dim \mathfrak{u}_0^{\sigma}$ for all relevant σ. Since $\tau_4 = \omega_0\eta_1 = \omega$, so $\tau_4 \sim \sigma_2$. Since $\tau_4\sigma_3 = \omega\sigma_3 = \omega\sigma_2\exp(\pi i H'_1)$, ω, σ_2 are in the subgroup generated by roots $\alpha_2, \alpha_5, \alpha_7$, which are perpendicular to each other, and also perpendicular to α_1, so

$$\tau_4\sigma_3 = \omega\sigma_2\exp(\pi i H'_1) \sim \sigma_2\exp(\pi i H'_1) = \sigma_3.$$

Let ϕ be the action of $G^{\sigma_3} \cong (\mathrm{SU}(8)/\langle iI\rangle) \rtimes \langle\omega\rangle$ on $\mathfrak{p} \cong \wedge^4(\mathbb{C}^8)$, for any $X \in \mathrm{SU}(8)$,

$$\phi(\omega_0)^{-1}\phi([X])\phi(\omega_0) = \phi(\omega^{-1}[X]\omega_0) = \phi([\overline{X}]),$$

Then $\phi(\omega_0)$ maps the weight space of any weight λ to the weight space of weight $-\lambda$. As $\wedge^4(\mathbb{C}^8)$ has no zero-weight, so $\dim \mathfrak{p}^{\omega} = \frac{1}{2}\dim \mathfrak{p} = 35$. Then $\dim \mathfrak{u}_0^{\omega_0} = 28 + 35 = 63$, so $\tau_3 = \omega_0 \sim \sigma_3$.

Moreover, in G^{σ_3}, we have $\eta_3 \sim_{G^{\sigma_3}} \tau_1$,

$$\eta_1 \sim_{G^{\sigma_3}} \eta_2 \sim_{G^{\sigma_3}} \eta_4 \sim_{G^{\sigma_3}} \tau_2,$$

$$\eta_2\omega_0 \sim_{G^{\sigma_3}} \eta_3\omega_0 \sim_{G^{\sigma_3}} \omega_0 = \tau_3,$$

$$\eta_4\omega_0 \sim_{G^{\sigma_3}} \eta_1\omega_0\sigma_3 = \tau_4\sigma_2.$$

These conjugacy relations are obtained from consideration in the classical group $(\mathrm{SU}(8)/\langle iI\rangle) \rtimes \langle\omega_0\rangle$. We show $\eta_4\omega_0 \sim_{G^{\sigma_3}} \eta_1\omega_0\sigma_3$ here, which is the most complicated one among them. Let $y = e^{\frac{\pi i}{8}}\operatorname{diag}\{I_7, -1\} \in \mathrm{SU}(8)/\langle iI\rangle$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & y(\eta_4\omega_0)y^{-1} = (y\eta_4 y^{-1})\omega_0(\omega_0^{-1}y\omega_0)y^{-1} \\ = \ & \eta_1\omega_0 y^{-1}y^{-1} = \eta_1\omega_0 e^{\frac{-\pi i}{4}} \\ = \ & \eta_1\omega_0\sigma_2, \end{aligned}$$

in the last equality we use $e^{\frac{-\pi i}{4}}I = (e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}}I)(iI)^{-1} = e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}}I = \sigma_3$ in $\mathrm{SU}(8)/\langle iI\rangle$.

Let

$$M_1 = \langle \begin{pmatrix} -I_4 & \\ & I_4 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0_4 & I_4 \\ I_4 & 0_4 \end{pmatrix} \rangle,$$

$$M_2 = \langle \operatorname{diag}\{-I_4, I_4\}, \operatorname{diag}\{-I_2, I_2, -I_2, I_2\}\rangle,$$

then $(\mathfrak{e}_7^{\sigma_3})^{M_1} \cong \mathfrak{su}(4)$ and $(\mathfrak{e}_7^{\sigma_3})^{M_2} \cong (\mathfrak{sp}(1))^4 \oplus (i\mathbb{R})^3$.

Lemma 7.8. *In G, $M_1 \sim F_1$ and $M_2 \sim F_2$.*

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{su}(4)$ is not a symmetric subalgebra of $\mathfrak{e}_7^{F_2} \cong \mathfrak{so}(8) \oplus (\mathfrak{sp}(1))^3$ and $(\mathfrak{sp}(1))^4 \oplus (i\mathbb{R})^3$ is not a symmetric subalgebra of $\mathfrak{e}_7^{F_1} \cong \mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus (i\mathbb{R})^2$, so $M_1 \sim F_1$, $M_2 \sim F_2$. □

When $\sigma_3 \in F$, $F \subset G^{\sigma_3} \cong (\mathrm{SU}(8)/\langle iI\rangle) \rtimes \langle\omega_0\rangle$, where $\omega_0^2 = 1$ and $\mathfrak{su}(8)^{\omega_0} = \mathfrak{so}(8)$. If F has no elements conjugate to σ_2, from the description of conjugacy classes of involutions in $(G^{\sigma_3})_0 \cong \mathrm{SU}(8)/\langle iI\rangle$, we have $x \sim \tau_2 = \operatorname{diag}\{-I_4, I_4\}$ for any $1 \neq x \in H_F$.

Lemma 7.9. *For an elementary abelian 2-group $F \subset G = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$ contains σ_3 and without elements conjugate to σ_2, in the inclusion $F \subset G^{\sigma_3} \cong (\mathrm{SU}(8)/\langle iI\rangle) \rtimes \langle \omega_0\rangle$, $H_F \subset \mathrm{SU}(8)/\langle iI\rangle$, and the homomorphism*

$$H_F \longrightarrow \mathrm{SU}(8)/\langle iI, \sigma_3\rangle = \mathrm{SU}(8)/\langle \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}} I\rangle = \mathrm{PSU}(8)$$

is injective. Moreover, the map $m : H_F \times H_F \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ is equal to the similar map when H_F is viewed as a subgroup of $\mathrm{SU}(8)/\langle \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}} I\rangle = \mathrm{PSU}(8)$.

Proof. $H_F \subset \mathrm{SU}(8)/\langle iI\rangle$ since any involution in $\omega_0 \,\mathrm{SU}(8)/\langle iI\rangle$ is conjugate to σ_2 or σ_3. For any involution $x \in F \cap (\mathrm{SU}(8)/\langle iI\rangle)$, exactly one of $x, x\sigma_3$ is conjugate to σ_1, so the map $H_F \longrightarrow \mathrm{PSU}(8)$ is injective. The two maps m are equal follows from Lemma 7.8. □

When $\mathrm{rank}(F/H_F) = 1$, $F \subset (G^{\sigma_3})_0 \cong \mathrm{SU}(8)/\langle iI\rangle$. Let $y_1 = \mathrm{diag}\{-I_4, I_4\}$,

$$y_2 = \mathrm{diag}\{-I_2, I_2, -I_2, I_2\}, y_3 = \mathrm{diag}\{-1, 1, -1, 1, -1, 1, -1, 1\},$$

$$y_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0_4 & I_4 \\ I_4 & 0_4 \end{pmatrix}, \; y_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0_2 & I_2 & & \\ I_2 & 0_2 & & \\ & & 0_2 & I_2 \\ & & I_2 & 0_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$y_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & & & & \\ 1 & 0 & & & & & & \\ & & 0 & 1 & & & & \\ & & 1 & 0 & & & & \\ & & & & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & & & 1 & 0 & & \\ & & & & & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & & & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For each (r, s) with $r + s \leq 3$, let $F''_{r,s} = \langle \sigma_3, y_1, y_2, ..., y_{r+s}, y_4, ..., y_{3+s}\rangle$. One has $A_{F''_{r,s}} = \ker m = \langle y_1, ..., y_r\rangle$, so these $F''_{r,s}$ are not conjugate to each other.

When $\mathrm{rank}(F/H_F) = 2$, we may assume that $\omega_0 \in F$, then

$$F \subset (G^{\sigma_3})^{\omega_0} = (\mathrm{SO}(8)/\langle -I\rangle) \times \langle \sigma_3, \omega_0\rangle.$$

Let $x_1 = \mathrm{diag}\{-I_4, I_4\}$, $x_2 = \mathrm{diag}\{-I_2, I_2, -I_2, I_2\}$,

$$x_3 = \mathrm{diag}\{-1, 1, -1, 1, -1, 1, -1, 1\}.$$

For each $r \leq 3$, let $F'_r = \langle \sigma_2, \omega_0, x_1, \cdots, x_r\rangle$.

Proposition 7.10. *For an elementary abelian 2-group $F \subset G$, if F contains an element conjugate to σ_3 but contains no elements conjugate to σ_2, then F is conjugate to one of $\{F''_{r,s} : r + s \leq 3\}, \{F'_r : r \leq 3\}$. Any two groups in $\{F''_{r,s}\}, \{F'_r\}$ are non-conjugate.* $\mathrm{rank}\, A_{F''_{r,s}} = \mathrm{rank}\, A_{F'_r} = r$.

Proof. For the first statement, we may assume that $\sigma_3 \in F$, then

$$F \subset G^{\sigma_3} \cong (\mathrm{SU}(8)/\langle iI\rangle) \rtimes \langle \omega_0\rangle.$$

When $\mathrm{rank}(F/H_F) = 1$, $F \subset G_0^{\sigma_3} \cong \mathrm{SU}(8)/\langle iI\rangle$. As F has no elements conjugate to σ_2, any element of F is conjugate to τ_2 or $\sigma_3\tau_2$ in $\mathrm{SU}(8)/\langle iI\rangle$, where

$\tau_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -I_4 & \\ & I_4 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $F \sim F''_{r,s}$ for some $r, s \geq 0$ with $r + s \leq 3$ by Subsection 2.1.

When $\mathrm{rank}(F/H_F) = 2$, we may assume that $\omega_0 \in F$ as well, then

$$F \subset (G^{\sigma_3})^{\omega_0} = (\mathrm{SO}(8)/\langle -I \rangle) \times \langle \sigma_3, \omega_0 \rangle.$$

We have $H_F = F \cap \mathrm{SO}(8)/\langle -I \rangle$ and any involution in F is conjugate to $\eta_2 = \mathrm{diag}\{-I_4, I_4\}$ in $\mathrm{SO}(8)/\langle -I \rangle$. Then $F \sim F'_r$ for some $r \leq 3$ by Subsection 2.4.

By Lemma 7.9, we get $\mathrm{rank}\, A_{F''_{r,s}} = \mathrm{rank}\, A_{F'_r} = r$. By this, we get any two groups in $\{F''_{r,s}\}, \{F'_r\}$ are non-conjugate. □

7.3. **Pure σ_1 subgroups.** A subgroup F of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$ is called a *pure σ_1 subgroup* if all of its non-trivial elements are conjugate to σ_1 in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$.

For σ_1, $G^{\sigma_1} \cong (\mathrm{Spin}(12) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle (c, 1), (-c, -1) \rangle$ where $c = e_1 e_2 ... e_{12}$, and $\mathfrak{p} = M_+ \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$. $(e_1e_2e_3e_4, 1)$, $(e_1e_2, \mathbf{i})$, $(e_1e_2e_3e_4e_5e_6, \mathbf{i})$, $(\Pi, 1)$, $(\Pi, -1)$ represent the conjugacy classes of involutions in G^{σ_1} except $\sigma_1 = (1, -1)$, where

$$\Pi = \frac{1 + e_1e_2}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1 + e_3e_4}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1 + e_5e_6}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1 + e_7e_8}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1 + e_9e_{10}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1 + e_{11}e_{12}}{\sqrt{2}} \in \mathrm{Spin}(12).$$

And in G, we have $(e_1e_2e_3e_4, 1) \sim \sigma_1$, $(e_1\Pi e_1, i) \sim \sigma_1$,

$$(e_1e_2, \mathbf{i}) \sim \sigma_2, (e_1e_2e_3e_4e_5e_6, \mathbf{i}) \sim \sigma_3,$$
$$(\Pi, 1) \sim \sigma_2,\ (\Pi, -1) \sim \sigma_3.$$

The identification of conjugacy classes is by calculating $\mathfrak{u}_0^{\sigma}$ from the consideration of weights of M_+ as an Spin(12) module.

Let $K_1 = \langle (e_1\Pi e_1^{-1}, \mathbf{i}), (e_1\Pi' e_1^{-1}, \mathbf{j}) \rangle$, $K_2 = \langle (e_1e_2e_3e_4, 1), (e_5e_6e_7e_8, 1) \rangle$,
$K_3 = \langle (e_1\Pi e_1^{-1}, \mathbf{i}), (-e_1e_2e_3e_4, 1) \rangle$, $K_4 = \langle (e_1\Pi e_1^{-1}, \mathbf{i}), (e_1e_2e_3e_4, 1) \rangle$,
$K_5 = \langle (e_1e_2e_3e_4, 1), (e_1e_2e_5e_6, 1) \rangle$,

where

$$\Pi' = \frac{1 + e_1e_3}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1 + e_4e_2}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1 + e_5e_7}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1 + e_8e_6}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1 + e_9e_{11}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1 + e_{12}e_{10}}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Lemma 7.11. *We have* $\Pi^2 = \Pi'^2 = [\Pi, \Pi'] = c$.

Proof. $\Pi^2 = \Pi'^2 = c$ is clear. Calculation show

$$\Pi\Pi' = \frac{1 + e_1e_4}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1 + e_2e_3}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1 + e_5e_8}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1 + e_6e_7}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1 + e_9e_{12}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1 + e_{10}e_{11}}{\sqrt{2}},$$

so $(\Pi\Pi')^2 = c$. Then $[\Pi, \Pi'] = \Pi\Pi'\Pi^{-1}\Pi'^{-1} = \Pi\Pi'(c\Pi)(c\Pi') = (\Pi\Pi')^2 = c$. □

Lemma 7.12. *In G, $K_1 \sim K_3 \sim K_5 \sim \Gamma_1$ and $K_2 \sim K_4 \sim \Gamma_2$.*

Proof. Since $(\mathfrak{u}_0^{\sigma_1})^{K_1} \cong \mathfrak{sp}(3)$ is not a symmetric subgroup of $\mathfrak{u}_0^{\Gamma_2} \cong \mathfrak{so}(8) \oplus (\mathfrak{sp}(1))^2$ and $(\mathfrak{e}_7^{\sigma_1})^{K_2} \cong (\mathfrak{sp}(1))^7$ is not a symmetric subgroup of $\mathfrak{u}_0^{\Gamma_1} \cong \mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus (i\mathbb{R})^2$, we get $K_1 \sim \Gamma_1, K_2 \sim \Gamma_2$.

For a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_0$ of $\mathfrak{e}_7$, we may assume that $\sigma_1 = \exp(\pi i H'_2)$. Then $\mathfrak{g}^{\sigma_1}$ has a simple root system

$$\{\alpha_2, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6, \beta, \alpha_7\}(\text{Type D}_6) \bigsqcup \{\alpha_1\},$$

where $\beta = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_5$. By identifying conjugacy (classes of) elements in $\exp(\mathfrak{h}_0)$ and in $\mathrm{Spin}(12) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1)/\langle (c, 1), (-c, -1)\rangle$, we get the conjugacy relations,

$$(\exp(\pi i H_1'), \exp(\pi i H_3'), \exp(\pi i H_2')) \sim (\sigma_1, (e_1 \Pi e_1^{-1}, \mathbf{i}), e_1e_2e_3e_4)$$

and

$$(\exp(\pi i H_1'), \exp(\pi i H_2'), \exp(\pi i H_4')) \sim (\sigma_1, e_1e_2e_3e_4, e_1e_2e_5e_6).$$

Then we have $K_3 \sim K_5 \sim \Gamma_1$, $K_4 \sim \Gamma_2$. □

Lemma 7.13. *In* $\mathrm{Spin}(12)$*,* $\Pi \sim \Pi^{-1}$*,* $\Pi \not\sim -\Pi$*, and* $\Pi \not\sim \pm e_1 \Pi e_1^{-1}$*.*

Proof. We have $(e_1e_3e_5e_7e_9e_{11})\Pi(e_1e_3e_5e_7e_9e_{11})^{-1} = \Pi^{-1}$, so $\Pi \sim \Pi^{-1}$. Since

$$\mathrm{SO}(12)^{\pi(\Pi)} = \{g \in \mathrm{Spin}(12) | g\Pi g^{-1} = \pm\Pi\}/\langle -1\rangle,$$

where $-1 \in \{g \in \mathrm{Spin}(12)|g\Pi g^{-1} = \Pi\}$, $\mathrm{SO}(12)^{\pi(\Pi)} = \mathrm{U}(6)$ is connected implies $\Pi \not\sim -\Pi$. We have $\pi(\Pi) = J_6 \in \mathrm{SO}(12)$ and $\pi(\pm e_1\Pi e_1^{-1}) = I_{1,11}J_6I_{1,11}^{-1}$. Since $J_6 \not\sim_{\mathrm{SO}(12)} I_{1,11}J_6I_{1,11}^{-1}$, so $\Pi \not\sim_{\mathrm{Spin}(12)} \pm e_1\Pi e_1^{-1}$. □

Lemma 7.14. *We have* $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)^{\Gamma_1} = (\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)^{\Gamma_1})_0 \rtimes \langle (e_1\Pi' e_1, \boldsymbol{j})\rangle$ *and*

$$(\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)^{\Gamma_1})_0 \cong (\mathrm{SU}(6) \times \mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{U}(1))/\langle (\omega I, \omega^{-1}, 1), (-I, 1, 1)\rangle.$$

Proof. First we calculate $\mathrm{Spin}(12)^{\Pi}$. We have

$$\mathrm{SO}(12)^{\pi(\Pi)} \cong \mathrm{U}(6) = (\mathrm{SU}(6) \times \mathrm{U}(1))/\langle \eta I, \eta^{-1}\rangle,$$

$\eta = e^{\frac{\pi i}{3}}$. Then $\mathrm{Spin}(12)^{\Pi} = (\mathrm{SU}(6) \times A)/Z$, where

$$A = \{ \prod_{1 \leq jleq6} (\cos\theta + \sin\theta e_{2j-1}e_{2j}) : \theta \in \mathbb{R}\} \cong \mathrm{U}(1)$$

and $Z \subset Z(\mathrm{SU}(6)) \times A$. The isomorphism $\mathrm{U}(1) \cong A$ maps $-1 \in \mathrm{U}(1)$ to $c \in A$, and $\pi(c) = -I \in \mathrm{SO}(12)$, so

$$\pi : \mathrm{Spin}(12)^{\Pi} \longrightarrow \mathrm{SO}(12)^{\pi(\Pi)}$$

is an isomorphism when it is restricted to $\mathrm{SU}(12)$ or A.

We show that $-c \in \mathrm{SU}(6) \subset \mathrm{Spin}(12)^{\Pi}$. For this, we first just look at the case of $n = 4$, for $\Pi_0 = \frac{1+e_1e_2}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1+e_3e_4}{\sqrt{2}} \in \mathrm{Spin}(4)$ with $\Pi_0^2 = c_0 = e_1e_2e_3e_4$. We have an isomorphism $\mathrm{Spin}(4) \cong \mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1)$, which maps $-1 \in \mathrm{Spin}(4)$ to $(-1, -1) \in \mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1)$, maps $c_0 \in \mathrm{Spin}(4)$ to $(-1, 1) \in \mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1)$. Then $\Pi \in \mathrm{Spin}(4)$ is mapped to $(\mathbf{i}, 1) \in \mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1)$ (or $(\mathbf{i}, -1) \in \mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1)$). As $(\mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1))^{(\mathbf{i}, \pm 1)} = \mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1)$, so $(1, -1)$ is in the semisimple part $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ of it. Then $-c_0 \in \mathrm{Spin}(4)$ in the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ part of $\mathrm{Spin}(4)^{\Pi}$.

As Π is in block form, so $-c \in \mathrm{SU}(6) \subset \mathrm{Spin}(12)^{\Pi}$ as well. Since $(-c)c = -1 \neq 1 \in \mathrm{Spin}(12)$, $\pi(-1) = 1$, and π is a 2-fold covering, so

$$\mathrm{Spin}(12)^{\Pi} = (\mathrm{SU}(6) \times \mathrm{U}(1))/\langle (\omega I, \omega^{-1})\rangle$$

when we identify $A = \mathrm{U}(1)$. By Lemma 7.13 (and Steinberg 's theorem), we get $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)^{\Gamma_1} = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)^{\Gamma_1})_0\langle (e_1\Pi' e_1, \mathbf{j})\rangle$. The description $(\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)^{\Gamma_1})_0$ follows from the description of $\mathrm{Spin}(12)^{\Pi}$ as above. □

In $G^{\sigma_1} \cong \mathrm{Spin}(12)\times\mathrm{Sp}(1)/\langle(c,1),(-c,-1)\rangle$, let $H_1=\langle\sigma_1,(e_1\Pi e_1^{-1},\mathbf{i}),(e_1\Pi' e_1^{-1},\mathbf{j})\rangle$,

$$H_2=\langle\sigma_1,(e_1e_2e_3e_4,1),(e_5e_6e_7e_8,1)\rangle,\ H_3=\langle\sigma_1,(e_1\Pi e_1^{-1},\mathbf{i}),(e_1e_2e_3e_4,1)\rangle.$$

Then any Klein four subgroup of H_1 is conjugate to F_1, any Klein four subgroup of H_2 is conjugate to F_2, a Klein four subgroup of H_3 is conjugate to F_2 if and only if it contains $(e_1e_2e_3e_4,1)$, otherwise it is conjugate to F_1.

Lemma 7.15. *$G^{H_1}=(\mathrm{Sp}(3)/\langle -I\rangle)\times H_1$, and involutions $I_{1,2},\boldsymbol{i}I\in\mathrm{Sp}(3)/\langle -I\rangle$ are conjugate to σ_1,σ_2 in* $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$.

Proof. $G^{H_1}=(\mathrm{Sp}(3)/\langle -I\rangle)\times H_1$ follows from Lemma 7.14 and the fact

$$\mathfrak{su}(6)^{e_1\Pi' e_1^{-1}}=\mathfrak{sp}(3).$$

A little more calculation by following the chain $\mathrm{Sp}(3)\subset\mathrm{SU}(6)\subset\mathrm{SO}(12)$ shows, $I_{1,2},\mathbf{i}I\in\mathrm{Sp}(3)$ are conjugate to $e_1e_2e_3e_4,\Pi$ in $\mathrm{Spin}(12)$. Then $I_{1,2},\mathbf{i}I$ are conjugate to σ_1,σ_2 in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$. □

Lemma 7.16. *Any rank 3 elementary abelian 2 pure σ_1 subgroup of G is conjugate to one of H_1,H_2,H_3.*

Proof. For a rank 3 pure σ_1 elementary abelian 2-subgroup F of G, we may assume that $\sigma_1\in F$. Then $F\subset G^{\sigma_1}\cong\mathrm{Spin}(12)\times\mathrm{Sp}(1)/\langle(c,1),(-c,-1)\rangle$ and any element of $F-\{1,\sigma_1\}$ is conjugate to $(e_1\Pi e_1^{-1},\mathbf{i})$ or $(e_1e_2e_3e_4,1)$.

When any Klein four subgroup of F is conjugate to Γ_1, we have $F\sim H_1$ by Lemma 7.12. When any Klein four subgroup of F is conjugate to Γ_2, similarly we have $F\sim H_2$ by Lemma 7.12. For the remaining cases, it is clear that $F\sim H_3$. □

We have defined subgroups $\{F_{r,s}: r\leq 2, s\leq 3\}$ and $\{F''_{r,s}: r+s\leq 3\}$ in the last two subsections. $F_{r,s}$ contains a subgroup conjugate to F_6, $\mathrm{rank}(F''_{r,s}/H_{F''_{r,s}})=1$ and $F''_{r,s}$ doesn't contain any element conjugate to σ_2. For any (r,s) with $r+s\leq 3$, let

$$F'''_{r,s}=H_{F''_{r,s}}=\{1\}\cup\{x\in F''_{r,s}|x\sim\sigma_1\};$$

for any $r\leq 2$, let

$$F''_r=H_{F_{r,3}}=\{1\}\cup\{x\in F_{r,3}|x\sim\sigma_1\}.$$

Lemma 7.17. *Any pure σ_1 elementary abelian 2-group $F\subset G$ is conjugate to F''_{r+3} for some $r\leq 2$ or $F'''_{r,s}$ for some (r,s) with $r+s\leq 3$.*

Proof. When F contains a subgroup conjugate to H_1, we may assume that $H_1\subset F$, then

$$F\subset G^{H_1}=(G^{\sigma_1})^{(e_1\Pi e_1,\mathbf{i}),(e_1\Pi' e_1,\mathbf{j})}\cong(\mathrm{Sp}(3)/\langle -I\rangle)\times\langle\sigma_1,(e_1\Pi e_1,\mathbf{i}),(e_1\Pi' e_1,\mathbf{j})\rangle.$$

Since F is pure σ_1, so any non-identity element of $F\cap(\mathrm{Sp}(3)/\langle -I\rangle)$ is conjugate to $I_{1,2}$ in $\mathrm{Sp}(3)/\langle -I\rangle$. Then $F\cap(\mathrm{Sp}(3)/\langle -I\rangle)$ is conjugate to a subgroup of $\langle I_{2,1},I_{1,2}\rangle$, which is a subgroup of $\langle\mathbf{i}I,\mathbf{j}I,I_{2,1},I_{1,2}\rangle$. Non-identity elements of $\langle\mathbf{i}I,\mathbf{j}I\rangle$ are all conjugate to σ_2 in G, so F is conjugate to some $H_{F_{r,s}}=\{1\}\cup\{x\in F_{r,s}|x\sim\sigma_1\}$. Since $H_1\subset F$, we have $s=3$. Then F is conjugate to F''_r.

If F doesn't contain any subgroup conjugate to H_1 but contains a subgroup conjugate to Γ_1, we may assume that $\sigma_1,(e_1\Pi e_1^{-1},\mathbf{i})\in F$. Since F doesn't contain any subgroup conjugate to H_1, so

$$F\subset(G^{\sigma_1})_0^{(e_1\Pi e_1^{-1},\mathbf{i})}\cong(\mathrm{SU}(6)\times\mathrm{U}(1)\times\mathrm{U}(1))/\langle(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}},e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}},1),(-I,1,1)\rangle.$$

Since F is pure σ_1, we have $F=(F\cap\mathrm{SU}(6)/\langle -I\rangle)\times\langle\sigma_1,(e_1\Pi e_1^{-1},\mathbf{i})\rangle$ and any element in $F\cap\mathrm{SU}(6)/\langle -I\rangle$ is conjugate to $I_{2,4}$. Then F is toral. If F doesn't contain any subgroup conjugate to Γ_1, then any Klein four subgroup of F is conjugate to Γ_2. When $\mathrm{rank}(F)\geq 3$, we may assume that $H_2\subset F$. There are no elements $x\in(G^{\sigma_1})^{H_2}-H_2$ such that any Klein four subgroup of $\langle x,H_2\rangle$ is conjugate to Γ_2, so $\mathrm{rank}(F)\leq 3$. Then F is conjugate to one of $1,\langle\sigma_1\rangle,\Gamma_2,H_2$, so F is toral. For a toral and pure σ_1 subgroup F, there exists a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_0$ so that $F\subset\exp(\mathfrak{h}_0)$. Choose a Chevelley involution θ of $\mathfrak{e}_7$ with respect to $\mathfrak{h}_0$. Then $F'=\langle F,\theta\rangle$ satisfies $\mathrm{Res}(F'/H_{F'})=1$ and any involution in $F'-H_{F'}$ is conjugate to σ_3. Then F' is conjugate to $F''_{r,s}$ for some (r,s) with $r+s\leq 3$. Thus F is conjugate to $F'''_{r,s}$. □

Proposition 7.18. *For any $r+s\leq 3$, $\mathrm{rank}\,A_{F'''_{r,s}}=r$; for any $r\leq 2$, $\mathrm{rank}\,A_{F''_{r}}=r$. Any two groups in $\{F'''_{r,s}:r+s\leq 3\},\{F''_{r}:r\leq 2\}$ are non-conjugate.*

Proof. By Propositions 7.7 and 7.10, we get $\mathrm{rank}\,A_{F'''_{r,s}}=r$ and $\mathrm{rank}\,A_{F''_{r}}=r$. Then any two groups in $\{F'''_{r,s}:r+s\leq 3\},\{F''_{r}:r\leq 2\}$ are non-conjugate. □

7.4. **Automizer groups and inclusion relations.**

Proposition 7.19. *For an isomorphism $f:F\longrightarrow F'$ between two elementary abelian 2-subgroups of G, if $f(x)\sim x$ for any $x\in F$ and $m_{F'}(f(x),f(y))=m_F(x,y)$ for any $x,y\in H_F$, then $f=\mathrm{Ad}(g)$ for some $g\in G$.*

Proof. When F contains an element conjugate to σ_2, we may assume that $\sigma_2\in F\cap F'$ and $f(\sigma_2)=\sigma_2$, then $F,F'\subset G^{\sigma_2}\cong\langle\omega\rangle\rtimes((\mathrm{E}_6\times\mathrm{U}(1))/\langle(c,e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}})\rangle)$. From the description of conjugacy classes of elements in G^{σ_2}, we get $f(x)\sim_{G^{\sigma_2}}x$ for any $x\in F$ by the assumption in the proposition. Then $f=\mathrm{Ad}(g)$ for some $g\in G^{\sigma_2}$ by Proposition 6.7.

When $\mathrm{rank}(F/H_F)=1$ and F contains no elements conjugate to σ_2, we may assume that $\sigma_3\in F\cap F'$ and $f(\sigma_3)=\sigma_3$, then $F,F'\subset G^{\sigma_3}\cong\langle\omega_0\rangle\rtimes(\mathrm{SU}(8)/\langle iI\rangle)$ and any element in $(F\cup F')-\langle\sigma_3\rangle$ is conjugate to $I_{4,4}$. Since the function m on $H_F\times H_F$ and $H_{F'}\times H_{F'}$ is identical to the anti-symmetric bilinear form when $H_F,H_{F'}$ are seen as subgroups of $\mathrm{PU}(8)$. Then $f=\mathrm{Ad}(g)$ for some $g\in G^{\sigma_3}$ by Proposition 2.24.

When $\mathrm{rank}(F/H_F)=2$ and F contains no elements conjugate to σ_2, we may assume that $\sigma_3,\omega_0\in F$, then $F,F'\subset(G^{\sigma_3})^{\omega_0}\cong\mathrm{SO}(8)/\langle -I\rangle$ and any element in $(F\cup F')-\langle\sigma_3,\omega_0\rangle$ is conjugate to $I_{4,4}$. Then $f=\mathrm{Ad}(g)$ for some $g\in(G^{\sigma_3})^{\omega_0}$ by Proposition 2.24.

When F is pure σ_1, we get the conclusion by the considering the preserving of $m_F,m_{F'}$ under f. □

Proposition 7.20. *We have the following description for Automizer groups,*

(1) $r\leq 2$, $s\leq 3$, $W(F_{r,s})\cong\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_2^2,\mathbb{F}_2^r)\rtimes(\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{F}_2)\times P(r,s,\mathbb{F}_2))$;
(2) $r\leq 2$, $s\leq 3$, $W(F'_{r,s})\cong\mathbb{F}_2^r\rtimes P(r,s,\mathbb{F}_2)$;
(3) $\epsilon+\delta\leq 1$, $r+s\leq 2$,

$$W(F_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s})=(\mathbb{F}_2^{r+2s+\epsilon+2\delta+1}\rtimes\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_2^{\epsilon+2\delta+2s+1},\mathbb{F}_2^r))\rtimes(\mathrm{GL}(r,\mathbb{F}_2)\times\mathrm{Sp}(\delta+s;\epsilon)).$$

(4) $\epsilon+\delta\leq 1$, $r+s\leq 2$,

$$W(F'_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s})=\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_2^{\epsilon+2\delta+2s+1},\mathbb{F}_2^{r})\rtimes(\mathrm{GL}(r,\mathbb{F}_2)\times\mathrm{Sp}(\delta+s;\epsilon)).$$

(5) $r+s\leq 3$, $W(F''_{r,s})\cong(\mathbb{F}_2^{r+2s}\rtimes\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_2^{2s},\mathbb{F}_2^{r}))\rtimes(\mathrm{GL}(r,\mathbb{F}_2)\times\mathrm{Sp}(s))$;
(6) $r\leq 3$, $W(F'_{r})\cong\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_2^{2},\mathbb{F}_2^{r})\rtimes(\mathrm{GL}(r,\mathbb{F}_2)\times\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{F}_2))$;
(7) $r+s\leq 3$, $W(F'''_{r,s})\cong\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_2^{2s},\mathbb{F}_2^{r})\rtimes(\mathrm{GL}(r,\mathbb{F}_2)\times\mathrm{Sp}(s))$;
(8) $r\leq 2$, $W(F''_{r})\cong P(r,3,\mathbb{F}_2)$.

Proof. By Proposition 7.19, we need to find automorphisms of F preserves conjugacy classes of involutions and the form m on H_F.

We prove (4). Let $F=F'_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}$. F has a decomposition $F=A_F\times F'$ with $A_F=\mathbb{F}_2^{r}$ the translation subgroup and $F'\sim F'_{\epsilon,\delta,0,s}$. By Proposition 7.19, we have

$$W(F)\cong\mathrm{Hom}(F',A_F)\rtimes(\mathrm{GL}(r,\mathbb{F}_2)\times W(F')).$$

So we only need to show in the case the $r=0$. Assume $r=0$ from now on.

Any element in $W(F)$ preserves the symplectic form m on H_F. Since $\operatorname{rank}\ker m=\epsilon$, so we have a homomorphism $p:W(F)\longrightarrow\mathrm{Sp}(\delta+s;\epsilon)$. We show this homomorphism is an isomorphism, which finishes the proof.

For any $f:F\longrightarrow F$ with $f|_{H_F}=1$, since $F=H_F\rtimes\langle z\rangle$ with $z\sim\sigma_2$, let $f(z)=zx_0$ for some $x_0\in H_F$. The for any $x\in H_F$, $f(zx)=zxx_0$, so $zx\sim zxx_0$. This just said $x_0\in A_F$. Since we assume $r=0$ (equivalent to $A_F=1$), so $x_0=1$ and $f=\mathrm{id}$. Then p is injective.

By Proposition 7.19, $W(F)$ permutes transitively elements in F conjugate to σ_2. There are

$$\frac{2^{2\delta+2s+\epsilon}+(1-\epsilon)(-1)^{\delta}2^{\epsilon+\delta+s}}{2}=2^{s+\delta-1}(2^{s+\delta+\epsilon}+(1-\epsilon)(-1)^{\delta}2^{\epsilon})$$

such elements. It is clear that the stabilizer of $W(F)$ at z is $\mathrm{Sp}(s;0,\delta)$. So

$$|W(F)|=|\mathrm{Sp}(s;\epsilon,\delta)|2^{s+\delta-1}(2^{s+\delta+\epsilon}+(1-\epsilon)(-1)^{\delta}2^{\epsilon}).$$

By Propositions 2.31 and 2.32, this is also equal to $|\mathrm{Sp}(s+\delta;\epsilon)|$. Then p is surjective.

(3) follows from (4) immediately.

For the other case, we just use the fact "$\operatorname{rank}A_F=r$" and the form "m on H_F/A_F is non-degenerate" in these cases. ☐

We still have the following containment relations,

$$F'''_{\epsilon+r,\delta+s}\subset F'_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s},\ F'''_{\epsilon+r,\delta+s}\subset F''_{\epsilon+r,\delta+s},\ F''_{\epsilon+r,\delta+s}\subset F_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s},$$
$$F'_{r+s+\delta}\subset F_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s},\quad F''_{r+3}\subset F'_{r,3},$$

together with those obvious relations, they consist in all containment relations (in the sense of conjugacy) between these subgroups

8. E_8

Let $G=\mathrm{E}_8=\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_8)$, which has two conjugacy classes of involutions (with representatives σ_1,σ_2), we have

$$G^{\sigma_1}\cong(\mathrm{E}_7\times\mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle(c,-1)\rangle,\ G^{\sigma_2}\cong\mathrm{Spin}(16)/\langle c'\rangle,$$

where c is the unique non-trivial central element of E_7, $c'=e_1e_2...e_{16}$.

There are four conjugacy classes of Klein four subgroups in G with involution types as

$$\Gamma_1:(\sigma_1,\sigma_1,\sigma_1),\ \Gamma_2:(\sigma_1,\sigma_1,\sigma_2),\ \Gamma_3:(\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_2),\ \Gamma_4:(\sigma_2,\sigma_2,\sigma_2).$$

In $G^{\sigma_1}\cong(\mathrm{E}_7\times\mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle(c,-1)\rangle$, let $\eta_1,\eta_2\in\mathrm{E}_7$ be involutions such that there exists Klein four groups $F,F'\subset\mathrm{E}_7$ with non-identity elements all conjugate to η_1 or η_2 respectively and $\mathfrak{e}_7^F\cong\mathfrak{su}(6)\oplus(i\mathbb{R})^2$, $\mathfrak{e}_7^{F'}\cong\mathfrak{so}(8)\oplus(\mathfrak{sp}(1))^3$, then $c\eta_1\sim_{E_7}\eta_2$, $c\eta_2\sim_{E_7}\eta_1$. Let $\tau_1=(\eta_1,1),\tau_2=(\eta_2,1)\in G^{\sigma_1}$, then $\tau_1\sim\sigma_1$ and $\tau_2\sim\sigma_2$.

Let $\eta_3,\eta_4\in\mathrm{E}_7$ be involutions with $\eta_3^2=\eta_4^2=c$ and $\mathfrak{e}_7^{\eta_3}\cong\mathfrak{e}_6\oplus i\mathbb{R}$, $\mathfrak{e}_7^{\eta_4}\cong\mathfrak{su}(8)$. Then $c\eta_3\sim_{\mathrm{E}_7}\eta_3$, $c\eta_4\sim_{\mathrm{E}_7}\eta_4$. Let $\tau_3=(\eta_3,\mathbf{i})$, $\tau_4=(\eta_4,\mathbf{i})$, then $\tau_3\sim\sigma_1$ and $\tau_4\sim\sigma_2$.

Then $\tau_1,\tau_2,\tau_3,\tau_4$ represent all conjugacy classes of involutions in G^{σ_1} except σ_1.

The relations $c\eta_1\sim_{\mathrm{E}_7}\eta_2$, $c\eta_2\sim_{\mathrm{E}_7}\eta_1$, $c\eta_3\sim_{\mathrm{E}_7}\eta_3$, $c\eta_4\sim_{\mathrm{E}_7}\eta_4$ are known from understanding of involutions in E_7 and in $\mathrm{E}_7/\langle c\rangle\cong\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$.

Since $\sigma_1=\exp(\pi iH_1')$,

$$\{\alpha_8,\beta=\alpha_1+2\alpha_3+2\alpha_4+\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\alpha_7,\alpha_6,\alpha_5,\alpha_4,\alpha_2\}(\text{Type }\mathrm{E}_7)\bigsqcup\{\alpha_1\}$$

is a simple system of $\mathfrak{g}^{\sigma_1}$. We may choose $\eta_1,\eta_2,\eta_3,\eta_4$ such that $\tau_1=\exp(\pi iH_8')$, $\tau_2=\exp(\pi i(H_8'+H_2'))$, $\tau_3=\exp(\pi i(H_3'+H_4'))$, $\tau_4=\exp(\pi i(H_3'+H_4'+H_8'))$, then

$$\tau_1\sim\sigma_1,\tau_2\sim\sigma_2,\tau_3\sim\sigma_1,\tau_4\sim\sigma_2.$$

For σ_2, $G^{\sigma_2}\cong\mathrm{Spin}(16)/\langle c\rangle$ and $\mathfrak{p}\cong M_+$, $\sigma_2=-1$. Let $\tau_1=\ e_1e_2e_3e_4$, $\tau_2=e_1e_2e_3...e_8$, $\tau_3=\Pi$, $\tau_4=-\Pi$, where

$$\Pi=\frac{1+e_1e_2}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1+e_3e_4}{\sqrt{2}}...\frac{1+e_{15}e_{16}}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Then $\tau_1,\tau_2,\tau_3,\tau_4$ represent all conjugacy classes of involutions in G^{σ_1} except σ_2.

In G, we have $\tau_1\sim\tau_3\sim\sigma_1$, $\tau_2\sim\tau_4\sim\sigma_2$. These are obtained from calculating various $\dim\mathfrak{u}_0^{\sigma}$.

And in G^{σ_2}, we have

$$\sigma_2\tau_1\sim_{G^{\sigma_2}}\tau_1,\ \sigma_2\tau_2\sim_{G^{\sigma_2}}\tau_2,$$
$$\sigma_2\tau_3\sim_{G^{\sigma_2}}\tau_4,\ \sigma_2\tau_4\sim_{G^{\sigma_2}}\tau_3.$$

These are clear in $\mathrm{Spin}(16)/\langle c\rangle$.

For any $x\in F$ with $x\sim\sigma_1$, let $H_x=\{y\in F|xy\not\sim y\}$.

Definition 8.1. *Let*

$$H_F:=\langle\{H_x:x\in F,x\sim\sigma_1\}\rangle=\langle\{x:x\in F,x\sim\sigma_1\}\rangle.$$

Lemma 8.2. *For any x with $x\sim\sigma_1$, H_x is a subgroup and* $\mathrm{rank}(F/H_x)\leq 2$.

Proof. We may assume that $x=\sigma_1$, then $F\subset G^{\sigma_1}\cong\mathrm{E}_7\times\mathrm{Sp}(1)/\langle(c,-1)\rangle$. For an element $y\in F\subset G^{\sigma_1}$ with $y^2=1$, $\sigma_1y\not\sim y$ if and only if y is conjugate to $1,\sigma_1,\tau_1,\tau_2$ in G^{σ_1}. Then it is also equivalent to $y\in\mathrm{E}_7\subset G^{\sigma_1}$. So $H_x=F\cap\mathrm{E}_7$ and it is a subgroup. Then $F/H_x\subset G^{\sigma_1}/\mathrm{E}_7\cong\mathrm{Sp}(1)/\langle-1\rangle$, so $\mathrm{rank}(F/H_x)\leq 2$. □

For any $x\in F$, define $\mu(x)=1$ if $x\sim\sigma_2$ or $x=1$; $\mu(x)=-1$ if $x\sim\sigma_1$. For any $x,y\in F$, define $m(x,y)=\mu(x)\mu(y)\mu(xy)$. In general m is not a bilinear form.

Define the *translation subgroup*

$$A_F=\{x\in F|\mu(x)=1\text{ and }m(x,y)=1\text{ for any }y\in F\}$$

and the *defect index* $\mathrm{defe}(F) = |\{x \in F : \mu(x) = 1\}| - |\{x \in F : \mu(x) = -1\}|$.

Definition 8.3. *We call* $\mathrm{Res}(F) := \mathrm{rank}(F/H_F)$ *the residual rank of* F*, and*

$$\mathrm{Res}'(F) = max\{\mathrm{rank}(F/H_x) | x \in F, x \sim \sigma_1\}$$

the second residual rank of F*.*

Let $X = X_F = \{x \in F | x \sim \sigma_1\}$, define a graph with vertices set X by drawing an edge connecting $x, y \in X$ if and only if $xy \sim \sigma_2$. It is clear that this graph X is invariant under multiplication by elements in A_F. Let

$$\mathrm{Graph}(F) = X_F/A_F$$

be the quotient graph of the graph X_F modulo the action of A_F.

8.1. **Subgroups from** E_6**.**

For an elementary abelian 2-group $F \subset G$, if F contains a subgroup conjugate to Γ_1, we may assume that $\Gamma_1 = \langle \sigma_1, \tau_3 \rangle \subset F$, then

$$F \subset G^{\Gamma_1} = ((\mathrm{E}_6 \times \mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{U}(1))/\langle (c, e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}, 1) \rangle) \rtimes \langle \omega \rangle,$$

where $\omega^2 = 1$, $(\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus i\mathbb{R} \oplus i\mathbb{R})^{\omega} = \mathfrak{f}_4 \oplus 0 \oplus 0$ and $\Gamma_1 = \langle (1, -1, 1), (1, 1, -1) \rangle$.

Let $G_{\Gamma_1} = \mathrm{E}_6 \rtimes \langle \omega \rangle \subset G^{\Gamma_1}$. We have a 3-fold covering $\pi : G_{\Gamma_1} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$ and an inclusion $p : G_{\Gamma_1} \subset G^{\Gamma_1}$. For an elementary abelian 2-subgroup F' of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$, $p(\pi^{-1}(F')) \times \Gamma_1$ is the direct product of its (unique) Sylow 2-subgroup F and $\langle (c, 1, 1) \rangle$. Let $\{F_{r,s} : r \leq 2, s \leq 3\}$, $\{F'_{r,s} : r \leq 2, s \leq 3\}$, $\{F_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s} : \epsilon + \delta \leq 1, r + s \leq 2\}$, $\{F'_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s} : \epsilon + \delta \leq 1, r + s \leq 2, s \geq 1\}$ be elementary abelian 2-subgroups of E_8 obtained from elementary abelian 2-subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$ with the corresponding notation in this way.

Let $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathrm{E}_6$ be involutions with $\mathfrak{e}_6^{\theta_1} \cong \mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)$, $\mathfrak{e}_6^{\theta_2} \cong \mathfrak{so}(10) \oplus i\mathbb{R}$, and let $\theta_3 = \omega, \theta_4 \in \omega E_6$ be involutions with $\mathfrak{e}_6^{\theta_3} \cong \mathfrak{f}_4 \oplus 0 \oplus 0$, $\mathfrak{e}_6^{\theta_4} \cong \mathfrak{sp}(4) \oplus 0 \oplus 0$. From the description of involution classes in G^{σ_1}, we have $\theta_1 \sim \theta_3 \sim \sigma_1$, $\theta_2 \sim \theta_4 \sim \sigma_2$ and

$$\forall \sigma \in F_1 - \{1\},\ \theta_1\sigma \sim \theta_4\sigma \sim \sigma_2,\ \theta_2\sigma \sim \theta_3\sigma \sim \sigma_1.$$

Noe that, $F'_{r,3}$ contains a rank 3 pure σ_1 subgroup, actually it is conjugate to $F_{r,2}$.

Proposition 8.4. *For an elementary abelian 2-group* $F \subset \mathrm{E}_8$*, if* F *contains a subgroup conjugate to* Γ_1*, then* F *is conjugate to one of* $\{F_{r,s} : r \leq 2, s \leq 3\}$*,* $\{F'_{r,s} : r \leq 2, s \leq 2\}$*,* $\{F_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s} : \epsilon + \delta \leq 1, r + s \leq 2\}$*,* $\{F'_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s} : \epsilon + \delta \leq 1, r + s \leq 2, s \geq 1\}$*.*

Proof. The proof is similar as that for Proposition 7.5. □

Proposition 8.5. *We have the following formulas for* $\mathrm{Res}\, F, \mathrm{Res}'\, F, \mathrm{rank}\, A_F, \mathrm{defe}\, F$*,*

1. *For* $F = F_{r,s}$*,* $r \leq 2$*,* $s \leq 3$*,* $(\mathrm{Res}\, F, \mathrm{Res}'\, F) = (0, 2)$*,* $\mathrm{rank}\, A_F = r$*,* $\mathrm{defe}\, F = 3 \cdot 2^{r+1}(2^s - 2)$*;*
2. *For* $F = F'_{r,s}$*,* $r \leq 2$*,* $s \leq 2$*,* $(\mathrm{Res}\, F, \mathrm{Res}'\, F) = (0, 1)$*,* $\mathrm{rank}\, A_F = r$*,* $\mathrm{defe}\, F = 2^{r+1}(2^s - 2)$*;*
3. *For* $F = F_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}$*,* $\epsilon + \delta \leq 1$*,* $r + s \leq 2$*,* $(\mathrm{Res}\, F, \mathrm{Res}'\, F) = (1, 2)$*,* $\mathrm{rank}\, A_F = r$*,* $\mathrm{defe}\, F = (1 - \epsilon)(-1)^{\delta+1}2^{r+s+\delta+1} + 2^{\epsilon+r+2\delta+2s}$*;*
4. *For* $F = F'_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}$*,* $\epsilon + \delta \leq 1$*,* $r + s \leq 2$*,* $s \geq 1$*,* $(\mathrm{Res}\, F, \mathrm{Res}'\, F) = (0, 1)$*,* $\mathrm{rank}\, A_F = r$*,* $\mathrm{defe}\, F = (1 - \epsilon)(-1)^{\delta+1}2^{r+s+\delta+1}$*.*

The groups $\{F_{r,s} : r \leq 2, s \leq 3\}$*,* $\{F'_{r,s} : r \leq 2, s \leq 2\}$*,* $\{F_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s} : \epsilon + \delta \leq 1, r + s \leq 2\}$*,* $\{F'_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s} : \epsilon + \delta \leq 1, r + s \leq 2, s \geq 1\}$ *are pairwise non-conjugate.*

Proof. The formulas in the first statement follow from the construction of these subgroups.

For the second statement, $(\operatorname{Res} F, \operatorname{Res}' F)$ distinguish most of them except for some possible pairs $(F'_{r',s'}, F'_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s})$. Suppose some pair $(F'_{r',s'}, F'_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s})$ is conjugate. From the formulas in the first statement, we have $r' = r$ (by A_F), $s'-1 = (1-\epsilon)(-1)^{\delta}$ (by the sign of $\operatorname{defe} F$) and $s' = 2s + 2\delta + \epsilon$ (by $\operatorname{rank} F/A_F$). Since $s' \leq 2$ and $s \geq 1$, the last equality implies $\epsilon = \delta = 0$, $s = 1$ and $s' = 2$. Then the second equality implies $s' = 1$. We have a contradiction. $\square$

8.2. **Other subgroups.** In $G^{\sigma_1} \cong (\mathrm{E}_7 \times \mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle(c,-1)\rangle$, choose $x_1, x_2 \in \mathrm{E}_7$ with $x_1 \sim x_2 \sim x_1x_2 \sim \tau_4$, then $(G^{\sigma_1})^{x_1,x_2} = \mathrm{SO}(8)/\langle -I\rangle \times \langle \sigma_1, x_1, x_2\rangle$. Let $z_1 = \operatorname{diag}\{-I_4, I_4\}$, $z_2 = \operatorname{diag}\{-I_2, I_2, -I_2, I_2\}$, $z_3 = \operatorname{diag}\{-1,1,-1,1,-1,1,-1,1\}$. Define

$$F''_{r,s} = \langle z_1, \cdots, z_r, \sigma_1, x_1, \cdots, x_s\rangle$$

for any $r \leq 3$, $s \leq 2$,

In $G^{\sigma_2} \cong \mathrm{Spin}(16)/\langle c\rangle$, $c = e_1e_2\cdots e_{16}$, let $y_1 = \sigma_1 = -1$,

$$y_2 = e_1e_2e_3e_4e_5e_6e_7e_8, y_3 = e_1e_2e_3e_4e_9e_{10}e_{11}e_{12},$$

$$y_4 = e_1e_2e_5e_6e_9e_{10}e_{13}e_{14}, y_5 = e_1e_3e_5e_7e_9e_{11}e_{13}e_{15}.$$

Define $F'_r = \langle y_1, \cdots, y_r\rangle$ for any $r \leq 5$.

Lemma 8.6. *For an elementary abelian 2-group $F \subset G$, if F contains no Klein four subgroup conjugate to Γ_1, but contains an element conjugate to σ_1, then*

$$\operatorname{rank} H_F/A_F = 1.$$

Proof. Recall that, H_F is a subgroup of F generated by elemnts conjugate to σ_1. Let $Y_F = \{x \in H_F : x \sim \sigma_2\} \cup \{1\}$, we show that $A_F = Y_F$ in this case.

Choose any $x_0 \in F$ with $x_0 \sim \sigma_1$. For any other $x \in F$ with $x \sim \sigma_1$, since F contains no Klein four subgroup conjugate to Γ_1, so $xx_0 \sim \sigma_2$. Then $x \in H_{x_0}$. By this, we know $H_F \subset H_{x_0}$, so $H_{x_0} = H_F$ as the containment relation in the converse direction is obvious. Similarly $H_x = H_F$ for any $x \in F$ with $x \sim \sigma_1$. Then for any distinct $y_1, y_2 \in Y_F$ $(y_1 \sim y_2 \sim \sigma_2)$, $y_1y_2 \sim \sigma_2$. So Y_F is a subgroup of H_F.

Then it is clear that $Y_F = A_F$. So $\operatorname{rank} H_F/A_F = \operatorname{rank} H_F/Y_F = 1$. $\square$

Lemma 8.7. *For an elementary abelian 2-group $F \subset G$, if F contains no Klein four subgroup conjugate to Γ_1, then F is conjugate to one of $\{F''_{r,s} : r \leq 3, s \leq 2\}, \{F'_r : r \leq 5\}$.*

Proof. When F contains no Klein four subgroup conjugate to Γ_1, but contains an element conjugate to σ_1, we may assume that $\sigma_1 \in F$. Then

$$F \subset G^{\sigma_1} \cong (\mathrm{E}_7 \times \mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle(c,-1)\rangle.$$

Modulo $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$, we get a homomorphism

$$\pi : F \longrightarrow \mathrm{E}_7/\langle c\rangle \cong \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7).$$

Since we assume F contains no Klein four subgroup conjugate to Γ_1, any element in $F - \langle\sigma_1\rangle$ is conjugate to $\tau_1 = (\eta_1, 1)$, $\tau_2 = (\eta_2, 1)$ or $\tau_4 = (\eta_4, \mathbf{i})$ in $(\mathrm{E}_7 \times \mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle(c,-1)\rangle$, and any Klein for subgroup of $F \cap \mathrm{E}_7$ has at least elment conjugate to η_2. Then $F' = \pi(F) \subset \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$ contains no elemnts conjugate to η_3, and no Klein four subgroups whose fixed point subalgebra is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{su}(6)\oplus(i\mathbb{R})^2$. In the case of E_7 (Section 7), it corresponds to the elementary abelian 2-subgroup F' with no elements conjugate to σ_2 and the map m on $H_{F'}$ is trivial. By Section 7, we get $F\sim F''_{r,s}$ for some (r,s) with $r\leq 3, s\leq 2$.

When F is pure σ_2, we may assume that $\sigma_2\in F$. Then $F\subset G^{\sigma_2}\cong\mathrm{Spin}(16)/\langle c\rangle$ and any element in $F-\langle\sigma_2\rangle$ is conjugate to $e_1e_2e_3e_4e_5e_6e_7e_8$ in $\mathrm{Spin}(16)/\langle c\rangle$. Then $F\sim F'_r$ for some $r\leq 5$. □

8.3. **Involution types and Automizer groups.**

Proposition 8.8. *For an isomorphism $f: F\longrightarrow F'$ between two elementary abelian 2-subgroups of G, if $f(x)\sim x$ for any $x\in F$, then $f=\mathrm{Ad}(g)$ for some $g\in G$.*

Proof. When F contains a Klein four subgroup conjugate to Γ_1, this reduces to the similar statement in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_6)$ case.

When F doesn't contain any Klein four subgroup conjugate to Γ_1, this is already showed in the proof of Lemma 8.7. □

Definition 8.9. *For an elementary abelian 2-group $F\subset G$, we say F is the orthogonal direct product of other subgroups $K_1,\cdots,K_t$ if there exists an isomorphism $f: K_1\times\cdots\times K_t\longrightarrow F$ with*

$$\mu(f(x_1,...,x_t))=\mu(x_1)\cdots\mu(x_t)$$

for any $(x_1,...,x_t)\in K_1\times\cdots\times K_t$.

Let $A=\langle\sigma_2\rangle$, $B_s(s\leq 3)$ be a rank s pure σ_1 subgroup, $B=B_1$, $C=F_3$, D be a rank 3 subgroup with only one element conjugate to σ_1. Then the involution types of some elementary abelian 2-subgroups of E_8 have the following description

$$\begin{aligned}
&F_{r,s}=A^r\times B_s\times B_3;\ F'_{r,s}=A^r\times B_s\times B_2;\\
&F'_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}=A^r\times C^s\times B^{\epsilon}\times B_2^{1+\delta};\\
&F''_{r,1}=A^r\times B,\ F''_{r,2}=A_r\times C,\\
&F''_{r,3}=A^r\times D;\ F'_r=A^r
\end{aligned}$$

$F_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}$ $(s\geq 1)$ doesn't a similar decomposition since elements in $F_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}-F'_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}$ are all conjugate to σ_2. With the involution type available, we can describe $\mathrm{Graph}(F)$. The graphs of $F_{r,s}$ is a complete bipartite graph with $s,3$ vertices in two parts, that of $F'_{r,s}$ is a complete bipartite graph with $s,2$ in two parts, that of $F''_{r,s}$ $(s\geq 1)$ is a single vertex graph, that of F'_r is an empty graph, the graphs of $F_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}$, $F'_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}$ are not of bipartite form and a little more complicated.

In summary, we have the following statement

"the conjugacy class of an elementary abelian 2-subgroup $F\subset G$ is determined by the datum $(\mathrm{rank}\,F,\mathrm{rank}\,A_F,\mathrm{Graph}(F))$*".*

Proposition 8.10. *For an elementary abelian 2-subgroup $F\subset\mathrm{E}_8$, m is a bilinear form on F if and only if F is not conjugate to any of $\{F_{r,s}: r\leq 2, s\leq 3\}\cup\{F_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}: \epsilon+\delta\leq 1, r+s\leq 2\}\cup\{F''_{r,3}: r\leq 2\}$.*

Proof. When F is conjugate to one of $\{F_{r,s}: r\leq 2, s\leq 3\}\cup\{F_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}: \epsilon+\delta\leq 1, r+s\leq 2\}$, it contains a subgroup conjugate to $B_3, F_{0,0,0,0}$ or D. $B_3, F_{0,0,0,0}, D$

contains $7, 3, 1$ elements with μ value -1 respectively, so m is not bilinear on them by Proposition 2.29.

When F is conjugate to a subgroup in the other four families, m is bilinear on F follows from the orthogonal decomposition of it. □

We can write the decomposition of involution types for some subgroups in a simpler way,

$$\begin{aligned}
F'_{r,0} &= A^r \times B_2, \\
F'_{r,1} &= A^r \times B \times B_2 = A^r \times B \times C, \\
F'_{r,2} &= A^r \times B_2 \times B_2 = A^r \times C \times C, \\
F'_{1,0,r,s} &= A^r \times C^s \times B \times B_2^1 = A^r \times B \times C^{s+1}, \\
F'_{0,\delta,r,s} &= A^r \times C^s \times B_2^{1+\delta} = A^r \times B_2^{1-\delta} \times C^{s+2\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 8.11. (1) *$r \leq 2$, $s \leq 2$, $W(F_{r,s}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_2^{3+s}, \mathbb{F}_2^r) \rtimes \big(\mathrm{GL}(r, F_2) \times (\mathrm{GL}(s, \mathbb{F}_2) \times \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{F}_2))\big)$;*

(2) *$r \leq 2$, $W(F_{r,3}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_2^6, \mathbb{F}_2^r) \rtimes \big(\mathrm{GL}(r, F_2) \times ((\mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{F}_2) \times \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{F}_2)) \rtimes S_2)\big)$;*

(3) *$r \leq 2$, $s \leq 2$, $W(F'_{r,s}) \cong \mathrm{Sp}(r, s; 2s - s^2, \frac{(s-1)(s-2)}{2})$;*

(4) *$\epsilon + \delta \leq 1$, $r + s \leq 2$, $W(F_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}) = \mathbb{F}_2^{r+2s+\epsilon+2\delta+2} \rtimes \mathrm{Sp}(r, s+\epsilon+2\delta; \epsilon, (1-\epsilon)(1-\delta))$;*

(5) *$\epsilon + \delta \leq 1$, $r + s \leq 2$, $W(F'_{\epsilon,\delta,r,s}) = \mathrm{Sp}(r, s + \epsilon + 2\delta; \epsilon, (1 - \epsilon)(1 - \delta))$.*

(6) *$r \leq 3$, $s \leq 2$, $W(F''_{r,s}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_2^s, \mathbb{F}_2^{r+1}) \rtimes \big((\mathbb{F}_2^r \rtimes \mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{F}_2)) \times \mathrm{GL}(s)\big)$;*

(7) *$r \leq 5$, $W(F'_r) \cong \mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{F}_2)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 8.8, we need to calculate automorphisms of F preserving the function μ on F.

$W(F_{r,s}) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_2^{3+s}, \mathbb{F}_2^r) \rtimes W(F_{0,s})$ and $W(F_{0,s})$ stabilizes $B_s \cup B_3 \subset F_{0,s}$. By this we get (1) and (2).

When m is bilinear, (F, m, μ) is a symplectic metric space, then we can identify $W(F)$ with the automorphism group of (F, m, μ). By this we get (3) and (5).

(4) follows from (5) immediately.

For (6), we have $A_F \subset H_F \subset F$ and A_F, H_F are preserved by $W(F)$. By Lemma 8.6, we have $\operatorname{rank} A_F = r$, $\operatorname{rank} H_F/A_F = 1$, $\operatorname{rank} F/H_F = 1$, then we get the conclusion.

(7) is clear. □

We have an inclusion $i : \mathrm{E}_7 \subset \mathrm{E}_8$ since $\mathrm{E}_8^{\sigma_1} \cong (\mathrm{E}_7 \times \mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle (c, -1) \rangle$. Let $p : \mathrm{E}_7 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$ be the adjoint map (a 2-fold covering). For a pure σ_1 (that for E_7 case) elementary abelian 2-group $F \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$, $i(p^{-1}F)$ is an elementary abelian 2-group of E_8.

Proposition 8.12. *An elementary abelin 2-group $F \subset \mathrm{E}_8$ is conjugate to $i(p^{-1}F')$ for some pure σ_1 group $F' \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$ if and only if F contains an elementary x with $x \sim \sigma_1$ and $H_x = F$.*

Proof. It follows from the description of the conjugacy classes of involutions in $\mathrm{E}_8^{\sigma_1} \cong (\mathrm{E}_7 \times \mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle (c, -1) \rangle$. □

Any subgroup of E_8 satisfying this condition is conjugate to one of

$$\{F_{r,1}=A^r : r\leq 2\},\{F'_{r,1}, r\leq 2\},\{F'_{1,0,r,s} : r+s\leq 3, s\geq 1\},\{F''_{r,1} : r\leq 3\},$$

there are 13 classes in total. Since there are also 13 classes of pure σ_1 subgroups in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$, so for any two elementary abelian 2-groups $F, F'\subset \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$,

$$i(p^{-1}F)\sim_{\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_8)} i(p^{-1}F')\Leftrightarrow F\sim_{\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{e}_7)} F'.$$

REFERENCES

[AGMV] Andersen, K. K. S.; Grodal, J.; Moller, J. M.; Viruel, A., *The classification of p-compact groups for p odd.* Ann. Math. (2) **167** (2008), no. 1, 95–210.

[B] M. Berger, *Les espaces symétriques noncompacts*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (3) **74** (1957) 85–177.

[Bo] A. Borel, *Sous-groupes commutatifs et torsion des groupes de Lie compacts connexes.* (French) Thoku Math. J. (2) **13** 1961 216240.

[Gr] Griess, Robert L., Jr., *Elementary abelian p-subgroups of algebraic groups.* Geom. Dedicata **39** (1991), no. 3, 253–305.

[He] Helgason, S. *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces.* Pure and Applied Mathematics, 80. Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1978. xv+628 pp. ISBN: 0-12-338460-5.

[HY] Huang, J.-S.; Yu, J., *Klein four subgroups of Lie algebra automorphisms.* arXiv:1108.2397v1.

[Kn] Knapp, A. W., *Lie groups beyond an introduction.* Progress in Mathematics, **140**. Birkhuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1996.

[Se] Serre, J.-P., *1998 Moursund Lectures at the University of Oregon.* ArXiv:math/0305257.

[Yu] Yu, J., *A note on closed subgroups of compact Lie groups.* arXiv:0912.4497v2.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ETH ZÜRICH, 8092 ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND
E-mail address: `jun.yu@math.ethz.ch`